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Executive summary

Deschutes County is faced with the imminent challenge of Knott Landfill reaching capacity by 2029, necessitating the selection of a new Solid Waste Management Facility (SWMF), including a 100-year-life landfill. As recommended in the 2019 Deschutes County Solid Waste Management Plan and directed by the Board of County Commissioners, the Solid Waste Department has been working with the County's Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) to identify potential locations for a new SWMF in Deschutes County. Following a rigorous site selection process, the Moon Pit and Roth East sites, both situated east of Bend near Highway 20, emerged as the final candidate sites. The County and its consultant team, led by Parametrix, commenced an exhaustive multi-disciplinary investigation to evaluate the efficacy of each site for development. This report offers a comprehensive analysis of the findings for each site, aiming to guide the County in the selection of a preferred location for the new SWMF.

The Moon Pit site property shape results in a more complex layout that is less efficient than at the Roth East site. Despite a lower capacity-to-acreage ratio, Moon Pit benefits from existing infrastructure, including an access road, gate, scales, and well, potentially reducing some upfront development costs. However, its active surface mine status and zoning complexities require careful consideration. The site has an established paved access road with direct access to US 20, but it crosses through BLM lands which could lead to a lengthy federal environmental review process for a change in use. Moon Pit also offers existing water supplies, though securing future water rights permits may pose challenges.

Conversely, the Roth East site features a more efficient layout, resulting in a better capacity-to-acreage ratio. As an undeveloped grazing property, it lacks existing infrastructure, demanding upfront capital for access road construction. Zoned as Exclusive Farm Use, Roth East faces a conditional use permit process, including a Farm Impact Test. New water infrastructure and water rights permits would be needed at the Roth East site to meet anticipated water demands.

Significant geological differences also exist between the two sites. Moon Pit is situated in a ridge-bounded valley with shallow bedrock that will requiring blasting to excavate. As a result, cell development costs are expected to be substantially higher at Moon Pit. However, the aggregate resource value, established mining operation, Surface Mining (SM) Zoning, and DOGAMI permit for the site present the opportunity for excavation to occur as part of aggregate resource extraction. Roth East, on the other hand, lies in Millican Valley with unconsolidated alluvial deposits that can be excavated with conventional equipment and used onsite for development and landfill cover needs.

Moon Pit's development is perceived to have fewer visual and residential impacts, given its remote location and topographic screening by ridges on three sides. It also faces fewer archaeological risks due to its prior disturbance for gravel mining. In terms of wildlife impact, the Moon Pit site poses potential impacts to a golden eagle nest and essential habitat for mule deer, elk, pronghorn, and sage grouse. Mitigation costs for these potential wildlife impacts are estimated at $700,000, with additional operations and maintenance costs of up to $800,000 for mitigation sites.

Roth East, because it is largely undeveloped, possesses potential archaeological resources, incurring longer review, permitting, and investigation timelines. In terms of wildlife impact, Roth East faces greater potential impacts to mule deer, elk, pronghorn, and sage-grouse habitat, with estimated wildlife mitigation costs of $1,500,000 and additional operations and maintenance costs of up to $2,500,000 for mitigation sites.

The Parametrix team prepared planning level opinions of probable cost (costs) for both sites. These opinions have ranges of +50% and -30%, which is an appropriate level of accuracy for comparison of sites. Moon Pit initial development costs range between $50-$64 Million, which includes $15.4-$15.9 Million for land acquisition. Roth East development costs are approximately $36 Million, with $5.5 Million allocated for land acquisition. Moon Pit's landfill cell development costs range from $705,000-$1,075,000 per acre, while Roth East's cell development cost is approximately $393,000 per acre. Moon Pit annual operating costs are $7.6 Million, with Roth East higher at $8.4 Million. Moon Pit's average cost per ton for disposal (capital plus operations) ranges between $43-$48, while Roth East's average cost is just under $45/ton. The cost ranges presented here for Moon Pit depend on the extent and cost of cell excavation that could occur as a part of aggregate mining operations onsite. Initial capital costs are significantly higher at Moon Pit, which will necessitate higher tipping fees for the first 20 years. However, total cumulative costs are estimated to be similar over the projected lifespans.

The decision between Moon Pit and Roth East hinges on a nuanced evaluation of advantages, challenges, and costs. Moon Pit provides existing infrastructure and potential cost offsets but faces zoning and access road complexities as well as substantially higher upfront development costs. Roth East boasts efficiency and favorable soil conditions, but is challenged by greater infrastructure needs, water availability risks, wildlife impacts, land-owner concerns, and haul costs. Deschutes County's ultimate selection should prioritize long-term sustainability, environmental protection, and economic viability, ensuring the chosen site best aligns with the County's waste management goals and community values.
The Moon Pit site layout is more complex and less efficient, compared to Roth East. As a result, the ratio of capacity-to-acreage ratio is lower and more leachate pump stations are needed. Approximately 64,000,000 cy airspace is available within a 346-acre footprint and the estimated lifespan is 100 years. The existing access road, gate, scales, and well could help reduce site development costs to some extent. Mined areas provide some "free" airspace and help reduce initial excavation needs. Although the prevalence of shallow bedrock at this site increases excavation costs, the potential for synergistic aggregate mining operations presents an opportunity to further subsidize cell excavation costs.

Moon Pit is an active surface mine zoned for Surface Mining (SM) with Wildlife Area (WA) and Surface Mining Impact Area (SMIA) overlays. The site is in proximity to the Oregon Badlands Wilderness and public lands. To permit landfill use, three options are considered: (1) Changing base zoning from SM to Multiple Use Agriculture (MUA); (2) Amending the Comprehensive Plan to allow landfill use after mining; (3) Introducing a new landfill overlay zone for designated areas. Discussions with BLM suggest potential NEPA review due to the site's access road crossing BLM land, requiring a new ROW easement. Risks may emerge from the land use approval process and a potentially extended NEPA process if mandated.

As both sites are within the Deschutes Groundwater Study Area, the timeframe for securing and mitigating for new water rights permits may extend beyond 2029. The Moon Pit site has existing industrial wells onsite with water rights. Although transfer the water rights is not offered with the property acquisition, the seller is willing to lease a partial water right to the County for landfill operational needs at a reasonable cost until the County can secure its own water rights. The current wells produce enough water to meet estimated operational water demands. The estimated costs for water rights permitting and water system upgrades at the Moon Pit site are approximately $665,000.

The site is zoned Exclusive Farm Use Horse Riding (EFUHR) with overlays for Forest Use (FU), Landscape Management (LM), Sage Grouse Habitat Area – Low Density (SGLA-LD), Surface Mining Impact Area (SMIA), and Wildlife Area Combining Zone (WA). A new landfill use is a conditional use under FU EFU and would require a Farm Impact Test. Potential risks may arise from the Farm Impacts Test which could lead to a Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) appeal which can be a lengthy process.

The site is zoned Exclusive Farm Use Horse Riding (EFUHR) with overlays for Forest Use (FU), Landscape Management (LM), Sage Grouse Habitat Area – Low Density (SGLA-LD), Surface Mining Impact Area (SMIA), and Wildlife Area Combining Zone (WA). A new landfill use is a conditional use under FU EFU and would require a Farm Impact Test. Potential risks may arise from the Farm Impacts Test which could lead to a Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) appeal which can be a lengthy process.

As both sites are within the Deschutes Groundwater Study Area, the timeframe for securing and mitigating for new water rights permits may extend beyond 2029. The Moon Pit site has existing industrial wells onsite with water rights. Although transfer the water rights is not offered with the property acquisition, the seller is willing to lease a partial water right to the County for landfill operational needs at a reasonable cost until the County can secure its own water rights. The current wells produce enough water to meet estimated operational water demands. The estimated costs for water rights permitting and water system upgrades at the Moon Pit site are approximately $665,000.

The Moon Pit site layout is more complex and less efficient, compared to Roth East. As a result, the ratio of capacity-to-acreage ratio is lower and more leachate pump stations are needed. Approximately 64,000,000 cy airspace is available within a 346-acre footprint and the estimated lifespan is 100 years. The existing access road, gate, scales, and well could help reduce site development costs to some extent. Mined areas provide some "free" airspace and help reduce initial excavation needs. Although the prevalence of shallow bedrock at this site increases excavation costs, the potential for synergistic aggregate mining operations presents an opportunity to further subsidize cell excavation costs.

Moon Pit is an active surface mine zoned for Surface Mining (SM) with Wildlife Area (WA) and Surface Mining Impact Area (SMIA) overlays. The site is in proximity to the Oregon Badlands Wilderness and public lands. To permit landfill use, three options are considered: (1) Changing base zoning from SM to Multiple Use Agriculture (MUA); (2) Amending the Comprehensive Plan to allow landfill use after mining; (3) Introducing a new landfill overlay zone for designated areas. Discussions with BLM suggest potential NEPA review due to the site's access road crossing BLM land, requiring a new ROW easement. Risks may emerge from the land use approval process and a potentially extended NEPA process if mandated.

As both sites are within the Deschutes Groundwater Study Area, the timeframe for securing and mitigating for new water rights permits may extend beyond 2029. The Moon Pit site has existing industrial wells onsite with water rights. Although transfer the water rights is not offered with the property acquisition, the seller is willing to lease a partial water right to the County for landfill operational needs at a reasonable cost until the County can secure its own water rights. The current wells produce enough water to meet estimated operational water demands. The estimated costs for water rights permitting and water system upgrades at the Moon Pit site are approximately $665,000.

The Moon Pit site will require approximately 9.5 miles of overhead utility line upgrades from the closest three-phase power connection point, near the intersection of Highway 20 and Dodds Rd. Roughly 2.6 miles will consist of upgrading an existing single-phase pole line. New three-phase power lines will need to be extended (overhead or underground) an additional 7 miles to the landfill location, mostly along Highway 20. Easements may be required through BLM property. Discussions with Central Electric Cooperative (CEC) approximated the cost of this upgrade at roughly $700,000 with a 50-60 week lead time for material acquisition.

The Moon Pit site is not directly within mapped flood hazard areas, but the northern part of the site is near the 100-year floodplain for the Dry River (ephemeral stream). There is a relatively large upstream drainage basin (approx. 3 square miles) that presents a moderate risk of flash flooding from intense thunderstorms and periods of rapid snowmelt. Several channels collect runoff from the northeast slope of Pine Mountain and drain north through the site and discharge to Dry River (ephemeral stream) near Highway 20. The mapped floodplain for the Dry River crosses Highway 20 in several locations, which poses a secondary flood risk to site access. Coordination with state transportation and hazard mitigation agencies is recommended to identify detours and alternate routes in case of disruptions to Highway 20 due to flooding.

The Moon Pit site is located within a pre-Holocene fault bounded valley with shallow depth to bedrock, resulting in higher excavation costs. Although generally impermeable, fissures and higher-permeable zones in subsurface volcanic materials could allow vertical migration of fluids to groundwater. Depth to groundwater is well-documented by onsite wells at 850 ft below ground surface (bgs). Onsite well yield is very good and water quality is also very good. Due to the significant aquifer depth and arid conditions generating minimal leachate, the risks of groundwater contamination are low.

The Moon Pit site is located in the Millican Valley with over 300 ft of unconsolidated alluvial deposits, resulting in lower excavation costs. The site is upstream of potential low-permeability zones to retard vertical migration of fluids to groundwater. Estimated groundwater depth at the site is at least 500 ft bgs, based on 9 well logs within 3 miles. The onsite well (Powell Deep 1+ mi SW of development area) has very good quality and yield is better than nearby residential/stock wells. Due to the significant aquifer depth and arid conditions generating minimal leachate, the risks of groundwater contamination are low.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Moon Pit</th>
<th>Roth East</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Geotechnical Feasibility</td>
<td>The Moon Pit site is situated in an area with an inactive fault-bound depression. Shallow bedrock of differing quality is present, covered by a thin layer (less than 10 feet) of mixed sediments. Blasting will likely be required to excavate bedrock to the desired landfill cell depths. The preliminary geotechnical assessment identified no significant issues related to soil stability or geological risks.</td>
<td>The site is on a fan-shaped deposit of sediment, with around 400 feet of mainly gravel layers. These gravels are usable for operations (daily/intermediate cover) and might be usable for site development, pending further lab testing. Excavation and grading for the landfill are expected to be done using standard equipment. An assumed fault line runs from a nearby mapped fault to the site's northeastern corner, but it is considered inactive in recent geological times. The preliminary geotechnical assessment identified no significant issues related to soil stability or geological hazards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment Phase I</td>
<td>Moon Pit is an operating quarry and aggregate pit southeast of Bend. This site does not appear on any environmental regulatory databases that indicate the release of hazardous substances or petroleum. A site reconnaissance in November 2023 noted two diesel above-ground storage tanks (ASTs) in use as well as a boneyard containing considerable old heavy equipment, and some noted of minimis staining. A former asphalt plant which operated on the site during the 1990s represents a Recognized Environmental Condition. A limited Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) involving soil sampling is recommended to delineate shallow soil sampling in the vicinity of the former asphalt plant and other areas of petroleum staining.</td>
<td>The Roth East site consists of approximately 1,700 acres of vacant land east of Millican. A review of historical documents including aerial photographs and topographic maps revealed no environmental concerns. Site reconnaissance identified two likely empty fuel ASTs with no noted soil staining. These portions of the assessment along with an interview with the current property caretaker did not identify any Recognized Environmental Conditions at the site and no further environmental investigation is recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather and Air Quality Desktop Review</td>
<td>Due to resolution of weather and air quality information in Central Oregon, the two proposed sites are represented by the same data. Average annual precipitation in the vicinity of the subject properties is less than 50 inches, so leachate generation is expected to be very minimal. Air quality data (from Prineville) indicate PM2.5 and ozone peaks of 518 micrograms per cubic meter (9/12/20) and 39 parts per billion (also 9/12/20) respectively. Both sites have a relatively low risk score for lighting susceptibility. No local (within 3 miles) permitted air quality facilities or sensitive receptors identified. Prevailing winds for the area are from the SE and NW.</td>
<td>Due to resolution of weather and air quality information in Central Oregon, the two proposed sites are represented by the same data. Average annual precipitation in the vicinity of the subject properties is less than 12 inches, so leachate generation is expected to be very minimal. Air quality data (from Prineville) indicate PM2.5 and ozone peaks of 1,733 micrograms per cubic meter (9/12/20) and 39 parts per billion (also 9/12/20) respectively. Both sites have a relatively low risk score for lighting susceptibility. No local (within 3 miles) permitted air quality facilities or sensitive receptors identified. Prevailing winds for the area are from the SE and NW.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources Assessment</td>
<td>No water or wetlands were present at the Moon Pit site and endangered species act (ESA) species are unlikely to be impacted by site development. A golden eagle nest is located within 2 miles of the site and site development would result in permanent alteration of habitat which would require compensatory mitigation. The site is located entirely within winter range habitat for mule deer and elk and essential and limited habitat for pronghorn and impacts as a result of the project must be mitigated to achieve “no net loss” and a “net benefit”. In addition, the site development is estimated to impact 7.8 functional acres of greater sage grouse habitat which must be mitigated and provide a net conservation benefit to sage grouse. The initial cost of mitigation for potential impacts to protected habitat as a result of site development is estimated at $700,000 with up to $800,000 in operations and maintenance costs for mitigation sites over 50 years.</td>
<td>No water or wetlands were present at the Roth East site and ESA species in addition to bald and golden eagles are unlikely to be impacted by site development. However, the site is located entirely within winter range habitat for mule deer and elk and essential and limited habitat for pronghorn and impacts as a result of the project must be mitigated to achieve “no net loss” and a “net benefit”. In addition, the site development is estimated to impact 173.3 functional acres of greater sage grouse habitat which must be mitigated and provide a net conservation benefit to sage grouse. The initial cost of mitigation for potential impacts to protected habitat as a result of site development is estimated at $1,500,000 with up to $2,500,000 in operations and maintenance costs for mitigation sites over 50 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Assessment</td>
<td>Much of the Moon Pit site is developed and/or disturbed by gravel mining. However, the historic archaeological resources are lower than for the Roth East site. A formal survey of the undisturbed areas is recommended to identify archaeological resources. Resources will need State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) permits and evaluative site testing. Overall, less resources will require less time and cost for review, permitting, and field investigations.</td>
<td>Roth East is largely undeveloped, which means there is potential for mining and geological resources. A formal archaeological survey is recommended to identify those resources. Resources will need SHPO permits and evaluative site testing. Overall, more resources will require more time and cost for review, permitting, and field investigations, and potentially mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Assessment</td>
<td>Of the two sites, development of Moon Pit is generally viewed as having the least visual and residential impacts. Because the site is currently used as a gravel mine, there is a perception that use as a landfill would pose minimal new impacts. Public comments about Moon Pit note concerns about potential historical or cultural loss due to disposal of historical or cultural resources. Comments also note potential disruption to recreation in the adjacent Badlands Wilderness Area. Similar to the Roth East site, there are concerns about potential impacts to habitat and area wildlife.</td>
<td>Of the two sites, development of Roth East is generally viewed as having more visual and residential impacts. Public comments about the Roth East site note concerns about potential impacts to Millican Valley landowners, area recreation, and the Pine Mountain Observatory. Specifically, the potential for high winds to spread debris and ash and concerns about contamination of local groundwater have been noted. Similar to the Moon Pit site, there are concerns about potential impacts to habitat and area wildlife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Analysis</td>
<td>Initial development costs are estimated at $50-564 million, which includes $15.4-$15.9 million for land acquisition. Landfill cell development costs are estimated at $705,000-$1,075,000 per acre. Annual operating costs are estimated at $7.6 million per year, which includes $2.5 million/year for waste hauling. The estimated average cost per ton is $43-$48, to dispose of roughly 38 million tons over a 100-year lifespan. The cost estimate ranges presented here depend on the extent and cost of cell construction that could occur as a part of aggregate mining operations on site. There is greater upside potential for the Moon Pit site due to opportunities for more aggregate mining during landfill operations. Initial capital costs are significantly higher at Moon Pit, which will necessitate higher tip fees for the first 20 years.</td>
<td>Initial development costs are estimated at $36 million, which includes $5.5 Million for land acquisition. Landfill cell development costs are estimated at $393,000 per acre. Annual operating costs are estimated at $8.4 million/year, which includes $3.3 million/year for waste hauling. The estimated average cost per ton is $44.50, to dispose of roughly 46 million tons over a 113-year lifespan. While the Roth East site is offered at a lower acquisition price and will have lower cell excavation costs, the additional operational costs for further waste hauling are projected to drive total cumulative costs beyond that of Moon Pit around year 83 of operations (circa 2122).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>