

# NON-RESOURCE LANDS PROGRAM



DRAFT
PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT
CONCEPT



Deschutes County Planning Commission January 9, 2014

# **Background:** Non-Resource Lands

- Board of County Commissioners directed staff to initiate a Non-Resource Lands Program
- Potential for New Comprehensive Plan Designation and Zone that allows rural land uses not presently allowed
- Considered for Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) zoned lands that do not meet the definition of agricultural lands or farm suitability found in Statewide Planning Goal 3 and administrative rules due to:
  - Poor soil conditions
  - Lack of irrigation
  - Climate conditions



Other relevant factors, including but not limited to past use.

### **Background: Non-Resource Lands**

#### **Prominent Statewide Topic**



- □ The Big Look (Final Report Jan. 2009)
  - Comprehensive Review of Oregon's Statewide Land Use Planning System
  - Chapter 3: Protecting Farm, Forest, and Natural Areas:

#### Primary Issues:

- Some farm and forest lands are non-productive or cannot otherwise reasonably be defined as farm and forest.
- If lands are re-designated for other rural uses, current limits on development are inadequate to assure they are consistent with the carrying capacity of the land and other resource constraints.

#### Leaislative Recommendation:

- Establish regional criteria authorizing two more counties to petition for the reevaluation of farm and forest land designations
- □ House Bill 2229 (Effective Aug. 2009)
  - Allows counties to reevaluate whether farm and forest zones meet state definitions
  - Requires county to submit proposed work scope to LCDC for approval

### **Background: Non-Resource Lands**

#### **Prominent Regional Topic**



- □ Southern Oregon Regional Pilot Project (May 2012)
  - Governor Kitzhaber signs Executive Order 12-07, establishing a Pilot Program for Regional Farm and Forest Land Conservation
  - Douglas, Jackson, and Josephine Counties enter a regional process to develop a plan to allow regional variation in what lands must be planned and managed as form and forest lands.
  - Executive Order specifies any resource lands rezoned to "non-resource" are planned and zoned at levels that:
    - Will not significantly interfere with nearby farm or forest uses or the future urbanization of nearby cities
    - Are sustainable in terms of fiscal impacts to local and state government and their effects on water supplies, transportation, water quality, fire protection, wildlife, and fish and wildlife habitat
    - Do not exceed the carrying capacity of the lands

### **Background:** Non-Resource Lands

#### Other County Approaches

□ Crook County



- □ Non-Resource Lands Comprehensive Plan Designation
- May be designated to existing zones depending on existing or intended use
- Requires consideration of carrying capacity of services and compatibility with nearby uses
- □ Klamath County



- □ Non-Resource Lands Plan Designation and Zone
- Excludes lands that are wildlife habitat, necessary for watershed protection or recreational use, and are not irrigated or irrigable.
- 20 acre minimum parcel size

### **Background: Non-Resource Lands**

#### Other County Approaches

□ Jackson County



- Rural Use (Non-Resource) Designation for lands that are not deemed to be Forestry/Open Space or Agricultural lands
- Designation is only applied where very low intensity rural development is found to be appropriate due to topographic, environmental, natural hazard, public access, or needed public service constraints
- Rural Use (RU) Zoning Districts have minimum parcel sizes of 20, 30, and 40 acres (RU-20, RU-30, RU-40)
- Determining appropriate parcel size is based on an analysis of nearby development patterns and land suitability factors including mean parcel sizes within a study area (0.5 to 1 mile radius) surrounding the subject parcel
- □ Lane County



- Non-Resource Lands Plan Designation
- May be designated Rural Residential or Park and Recreation
- 5 or 10 acre minimum parcel size
- Must be compatible with surrounding resource lands

### **Background:** Non-Resource Lands

#### **Local Hearings Officer Decisions**

- □ PA-13-1/ZC-13-1 (Newland LLC)
  - Denied
  - Key Issues: Predominant Soils Analysis/Suitability for Farm Use
- □ PA-11-7/ZC-11-2 (Oregon Department of State Lands)
  - Approved
  - Key Issues: Predominant Soils Analysis/Change of Circumstance/ Suitability for Farm Use
- □ PA-11-4/ZC-11-1 (Benesh)
  - n Denier
  - Key Issues: Change of Circumstance/Irrevocably Committed
- □ PA-10-5/ZC-10-3 (Rose)
  - Denied
  - Key Issues: Predominant Soils Analysis/Suitability for Farm Use



### **DRAFT** Public Engagement Plan

- Staff drafted a concept for the Planning Commission's consideration to gauge public and stakeholder sentiment on the Non-Resource Lands Program
- Prior to exploring any preconceived eligibility criteria, procedures or development standards for a new Non-Resource Lands zone, it is imperative for Deschutes County to first understand public opinion
- Public and interested stakeholders deserve to discuss and assess whether EFU lands, with all their variations, are even suitable for accommodating non-agricultural land uses that would theoretically be allowed under Non-Resource zoning.

# **DRAFT** Public Engagement Plan

#### □ Threshold Question:

Recognizing that there are EFU lands that do not meet the state's definition of agricultural lands or farm suitability, should the County develop criteria and standards that enable eligible property owners to take advantage of a "Non-Resource" zone that allows rural land uses and development not presently allowed?

- □ Community input strategies include:
  - Background material
  - GIS Zoning Maps
  - Interactive exercises, allowing participants to identify EFU priorities in relationship to Non-Resource Land opportunities
  - Written materials
  - Opportunities for verbal comments
  - Discuss Next Steps

# **DRAFT** Public Engagement Plan

#### **Community Input Strategies**

Table 1 - EFU Lands Assessment / Suitability Exercise #1

Suitability Exercise	Existing EFU Zoned Lands											
	Irrigation		Wildlife	Adjoining Own	ership Patterns	Carrying Capacity						
	Irrigated	Non- Irrigated	Combining Zones	Irrigated Dry EFU	Exception UG	GBs Within a County Rural Fire roads have domestic Protection District capacity availability						
Are Non-Resource Land Use opportunities suitable in these locations?												
(Select Yes, No, or Undetermined)												

#### Table 2 - Non-Resource Land Use Preferences / Suitability Exercise #2

Land Use Exercise	Non-Resource Land Opportunities											
		Minimum Parcel Size				Buffers	Easements					
	Low Density Rural Residential when suitability characteristics are met <sup>3</sup>	Value Added Businesses using agricultural resources	Other	0-10 acres	10-20 acres	20-30 acres	30-acres and larger	Should buffers be applied?	Should conservation easements be utilized?			
What types of Non- Resource Land Uses are suitable in the rural county?												
(Select Yes, No, or Undetermined)												

# **DRAFT** Public Engagement Plan

### **Community Conversations**

- Upon receiving Planning Commission and BOCC support, staff will initiate community conversations for 2-3 months throughout the region, holding listening sessions in Alfalfa, Bend, Brothers, La Pine, Redmond, Sisters, Terrebonne, and Tumalo
- Next Steps: Results will be shared with the Planning Commission and BOCC to determine if there is a subset of EFU land that warrants a closer examination for a Non-Resource designation
- If so, staff in collaboration with the Planning Commission would draft a "straw man concept" describing eligibility criteria, procedures and zoning/development standards that would then be taken back out into the community for further feedback

