

Testimony Relating to House Bill 3400, Providing Opt Out Options for the Establishment of Marijuana Businesses, etc. in Regard to Land Use Outside of the Cities.

Hearing Date: Wednesday, December 2, 2015

You did ☐ did not ☒ offer testimony in person.

Your Name: Cash Smith

Mailing Address: Cashsmith1@gmail.com

E-mail address (optional): 815 NE Greenwood Ave Bend, OR

Phone #s (optional): 541-~~3~~771-9090

Your Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Making a law in Deschutes County that you can only grow Marijuana on 20 acres of EFU land and of that 20 acres you can only grow on 1 acre, does not make good business sense. You will be pushing out all of the small locally owned businesses and bringing big Corporate businesses that can afford the big properties. Let's keep our saying ~~the~~ buy local, locally owned & operated. Bend & Deschutes County always encouraged new businesses & industries this should be no different.

(Use back of page if desired.)

Signature: Cash Smith

61851 Dobbin Rd.  
Bend, Ore. 97702  
Nov. 30, 2015

To: Deschutes Co. Commissioners

Re: Public input about Marijuana Business

Dear Commissioners:

We in this family oppose Commercial  
Pot business in this County.

I object to a new greenhouse for  
a Pot business, right behind my  
rural property (200 ft.) just east of  
Bend. We had no input, nor did  
any of our neighbors, that also  
object, that surround this new neighbor  
that has degraded this small neighborhood.

20 Acres is small, much too small  
to isolate this disgusting industry,  
even with buffers.

You want the Money for County income. We  
want them out of sight & out of business.  
Gene + Linda Hickman

Gene and Linda Hickman  
61851 Dobbin Road  
Bend, Oregon 97702

Re:  
Marijuana  
Public input  
& Hearing

Deschutes Co. P.O. Box 6005  
Deschutes County Courthouse  
Bend, Oregon 97703

Received  
11/30 3 PM  
[Signature]

ioners

## Bonnie Baker

---

**From:** Gail Hayes <gailhayesdavis@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:39 PM  
**To:** Alan Unger; Board  
**Subject:** Farm Use Acreage Limitation for Recreational Cannabis

Commissioner Unger,

I know this is a very busy time for you and the Board so I will make this email concerning the proposed regulations regarding grow sites for recreational cannabis short and hopefully to the point. I am the owner of a 7 acre parcel of land on farm use land only. We were excited about the opportunity to finally be able to "farm" our land using a building constructed to grow recreational cannabis, as we have been unable to do so as our property sits on sheer rock. However when we heard that the county was considering the 20 acre limitation for growers, we were disappointed. Our situation is unique as even though we have a small parcel of land in Tumalo, we are surrounded by two 100+ acre farms and BLM land on another side. There is no one within 3/4 of a mile from us. Even the mailman won't deliver to us as we are so far off the main road! It is actually a perfect location for such a farming enterprise.

I would like to see the county consider a process that looks at each situation individually. The fact that someone has 20 acres does not necessarily solve the concerns of the County re: recreational cannabis manufacturing. (i.e. smell, lighting and noise) Only the persistent regulation of the grow site itself will accomplish that. I would hope that a situation such as our farm land would be looked at in regards to it's location and proximity to others.

Thank you for your time.

Dr. Gail Hayes Davis  
503-803-7192