

# MINUTES OF MEETING

## DESCHUTES COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

DATE/TIME: Tues., March 24, 2015 7:30 AM LOCATION: Allen Room

DEPARTMENT OR GROUP: BOCC & Administration

ATTENDEES: | See attached roster.

PURPOSE OF MEETING: Legislative Update

#### ITEMS DISCUSSED:

- 1. Central Oregon Legislator's Update
- 2. Deschutes County Commissioner's Update
- 3. Deschutes County Department 2015 Priorities

See attached agenda for additional details.

ACTION(S) TAKEN BY BOARD: None.

## FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED:

- 1. Community Development Department Director to draft letter stating County position on HB 3282 for Board of Commissioners in advance of public hearing scheduled on March 24, 2015.
- 2. Commissioner DeBone to solicit environmental partners to support HB 2833.
- 3. Community Development Director to draft letter of opposition to SB 359 and HB 3208 and similar provisions being inserted into another bill.
- 4. County Administrator to investigate reasons for elevated Justice Court fees in Deschutes County.
- 5. Commissioner Baney to solicit community input regarding SB 831 and SB 832 and Health Services Director to obtain information from PacificSource.
- 6. Next legislative meeting to be held on April 7, 2015 at 7:30 a.m. in Allen Room.

REPORT COMPLETED/SUBMITTED BY: Judith Ure

# Deschutes County Board of Commissioners Legislative Update 3/24/2015

#### **Attendees**

### On site:

Tony DeBone, Commissioner
Alan Unger, Commissioner
David Doyle, County Counsel
David Givans, Auditor
Erik Kropp, Administrative Services
Nick Lelack, Community Development Department
Chris Doty, Road Department
Jane Smilie, Health Services Department
Jennifer Stevens, Representative Knute Buehler
Janet Burton, Central Oregon Association of Realtors
Judith Ure, Administrative Services
Jeff Perreault, Central Oregon Land Watch
Gail Snyder, Central Oregon Land Watch

# Via telephone:

Tim Knopp, State Senator
Ted Ferrioli, State Senator
Mike McLane, State Representative
Doug Whitsett, State Representative
Gene Whisnant, State Representative
John Huffman, State Representative
Tammy Baney, Commissioner
Mark Nelson, Public Affairs Counsel
Justen Rainey, Public Affairs Counsel
Nancy Blankenship, Clerk's Office
Jamie Christman, Bend Chamber of Commerce

DATED this day of Deschutes County Board of Commissioners	2015 for the s.
	ant DeBone
	ANTHONY DEBONE, Chair
	alan Ungu
	ALAN UNGER, Vice Chair
	A Bane
A TYPE OTE	TAMMY BANEY, Commissioner
ATTEST:	
Recording Secretary	



## Deschutes County Agenda Tuesday, March 24, 2015 7:30am

1-866-279-1568 \*8678842\*

### I. CENTRAL OREGON LEGISLATOR'S UPDATE

a. Update from Legislators

## II. DESCHUTES COUNTY COMMISSIONERS UPDATE

a. Update on Commissioner's Priorities

#### III. DESCHUTES COUNTY DEPARTMENT 2015 PRIORITIES

- a. Update on Priority 1 Support Bills
  - i. SB 648: Central Oregon Health Council
    - 1. Referred to Senate Health Care Committee
    - 2. PH and PWS Scheduled for 4/6/15
  - ii. HB 2833: Adds woody biomass to green technology for public buildings
    - 1. Referred to House Energy and Environment Committee
    - 2. Public Hearing Held on 3/12/15
  - iii. SB 516: Requires Housing and Community Development to dedicate money from housing accounts to county assessment and taxation fund for the benefit of the county collecting fees.
    - 1. Referred to Senate Finance and Revenue Committee
    - 2. PH held on 3/12/15
  - iv. SB 133: Allows DCBS to provide electronic access to building code information
    - 1. Passed Senate 29-0
    - 2. Referred to House Business and Labor on 3/5/15

- b. Update on Priority 1 Oppose Bills
  - i. HB 3208: Modifies definitions of water delivery infrastructure
    - 1. Referred to Rural Communities, Land Use, and Water
    - 2. No Action Currently Scheduled
  - ii. SB 359/HB 3379: Limits amount of appeal fee city or county may charge for quasi-judicial review of the city or county land use application.
    - 1. Public Hearing Held in Senate Judiciary Committee
    - 2. No House Action Currently
- c. Priority 2 Bills Action Scheduled/Moving or Important Updates
  - i. Court/Judicial
    - 1. HB 2355: Court Fees (Support)
      - a. Discuss Proposed Fee Agreement
    - 2. HB 2339: Requires court to appoint interpreter and provide appropriate communication device when necessary for crime victim who seeks to exercise certain constitutional rights. (Support)
      - a. Passed House (56-1)
      - b. Referred to Senate Judiciary
      - c. No New Action
    - 3. HB 2908: Oregon law into compliance with federal sex trafficking laws (Support)
      - a. Passed House (54-0)
  - ii. Forest/Land Use/Contracting Issues
    - 1. HB 2132: County forest collections (Support)
      - a. Public Hearing Held on 3/10/15
      - b. County Assessors (Support)
      - c. Department of Forestry (Support)
      - d. No New Action
    - 2. SB 210: Allows applicant to obtain expedited review of certain applications by filing a request and paying a fee. (Oppose)
      - a. Referred to Senate Environment and Natural Resource
      - b. Opposed by Oregon Trial Lawyers and 1000 Friends of Oregon
      - c. Public Hearing held on 2/16/15
      - d. No New Action
    - 3. SB 491: Additional state contracting requirements/pay equity (Oppose)
      - a. PH held on 3/4/15
      - b. BOLI/Governor Both Submitted Testimony

- c. No Testimony in Opposition
- iii. Human Service Issues
  - 1. HB 2015: Employee Related Day Care Subsidies
    - a. 3/18/14 Work Session Held
    - b. Going to JWM
  - 2. HB 2041: Local governments may prohibit medical marijuana facilities located one mile from school
    - a. PH held 3/4/15
    - b. No New Action
  - 3. HB 2546: E-Cigarettes/Youth Tobacco Prevention and Clean Air Act (Support)
    - a. Passed House 56-2
    - b. Referred to Senate Health Care
    - c. PH held on 3/23/15
  - 4. HB 3100: Changes government framework for public health activities (Support)
    - a. PH held on 3/9/15
    - b. Commissioner Baney Testified
    - c. AOC Supports
    - d. No New Action
- iv. Revenue and Tax Issues
  - 1. HB 2482: Requires Department of Revenue to appraise industrial property if improvements have real market value of more than \$1 million. (Support)
    - a. Passed House (59 yes, with one excused)
    - b. Referred to Senate Finance and Revenue
    - c. No New Action
  - 2. HB 2483: Clarifies right to seek determination on total market value (Support)
    - a. Passed House (58-0)
    - b. Referred to Senate Finance and Revenue
    - c. No New Action
  - 3. HB 2484: Extends due date to March 15 for filing of certain property tax returns. (Support)
    - a. Passed House (60-0)
    - b. Referred to Senate Finance and Revenue
    - c. No New Action

- 4. HB 2487: Requires correction of maximum assessed value due to correction of square footage on property to be proportional to change in real market value. (Support)
  - a. Passed House (59-0)
  - b. Referred to Senate Finance and Revenue
- v. Elections/County Clerk Issues
  - 1. SB 27: Resolves certain contradictory provisions applicable to duties of the county clerk. (Support)
    - a. Headed for House Vote
- vi. SB 28: Clarifies that the county clerk may use elector registration records, rather than physical registration cards. (Support)
  - a. Referred to Senate Rules Committee
  - b. Passed out of Committee
- vii. SB 29: Establishes procedures for electing precinct committee persons. (Support)
  - 1. Referred to Senate Rules Committee
    - a. Passed out of Committee

### IV. NEXT MEETING

a. Tuesday, April 7, 2015 at 7:30am

# Senate Bill 648

Sponsored by Senator KNOPP, Representative BUEHLER; Senator STEINER HAYWARD, Representatives HOYLE, MCLANE, WHISNANT, WILLIAMSON

#### SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure as introduced.

Expands duties of Central Oregon Health Council. Allows council to convene one community advisory council for all coordinated care organizations serving counties that join council. Requires report to Seventy-ninth Legislative Assembly. Extends sunset to 2022.

### A BILL FOR AN ACT

- Relating to Central Oregon Health Council; amending sections 13, 14, 15, 16, 18 and 19, chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011.
- Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:
  - SECTION 1. Section 13, chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011, is amended to read:
- 6 Sec. 13. (1) Crook, Deschutes and Jefferson Counties may form a Central Oregon Health Council
  7 when the governing body of each of the counties adopts a resolution signifying the body's intention
  8 to do so.
  - (2) [Subsequent to the formation of the Central Oregon Health Council,] A county that is adjacent to Crook, Deschutes or Jefferson County may join the council if:
  - (a) The governing body of the county seeking to join the council adopts a resolution signifying the body's intention to include a portion of that county in the region served by the council;
  - (b) The portion of the county to be included in the region is part of a natural health care referral pattern with the other counties on the council; and
    - (c) The Oregon Health Authority and the council approve.
  - SECTION 2. Section 14, chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011, is amended to read:
  - Sec. 14. (1) The Central Oregon Health Council shall consist of no more than [11] 15 members, including:
    - [(a) A formative council consisting of:]
    - [(A)] (a) One member each from the governing bodies of Crook, Deschutes and Jefferson Counties, appointed by each body;
    - [(B)] (b) The chief executive officer, or a designee of the chief executive officer, of the health care system serving the region; [and]
    - [(C)] (c) The chief executive officer, or a designee of the chief executive officer, of [the Medicaid contractor] each coordinated care organization serving any of the counties in the region that join the council; and
  - [(b)] (d) At least three members appointed by the [formative] council [established under paragraph (a) of this subsection. Members appointed under this paragraph shall be representatives of] who represent:
    - (A) Consumers of physical and behavioral health services;

NOTE: Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter [italic and bracketed] is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

(B) Health care professionals;

1

2

6 7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

29

30

31

33

34 35

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

- (C) School districts or educational service districts;
- 3 (D) The business community; or
- 4 (E) [A member from] The governing body of [each] any county that joins the council under section 13 (2), [of this 2011 Act] chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011.
  - (2) The term of office of the members of the council is four years. Members may be reappointed.
- 8 (3) A majority of the members of the council constitutes a quorum for the transaction of busi-9 ness.
  - (4) The council shall elect a member of the council to serve as the chairperson.
  - (5) If there is a vacancy for any cause, the appointing authority shall make an appointment to the vacated position to become effective immediately.
  - (6) The council may incorporate under ORS chapter 65 as an Oregon nonprofit corporation and may adopt rules necessary for the operation of the council, enter into necessary contracts, apply for and receive grants, hold and dispose of property and take other actions necessary to carry out the activities, services and responsibilities assumed by the council.
  - (7) The council may [adopt rules necessary for the operation of the council] convene a single community advisory council required by ORS 414.627 for all of the coordinated care organizations serving any of the counties that join the council.
    - SECTION 3. Section 15, chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011, is amended to read:
  - Sec. 15. The Central Oregon Health Council [shall] may appoint an advisory committee to advise the council in the performance of the duties of the council. The members of the advisory committee may include representatives of:
    - (1) Public health agencies serving the region;
- 25 (2) Behavioral health agencies for mental health authorities serving the region represented on 26 the council;
  - (3) Hospital or integrated delivery systems serving the region represented on the council;
- 28 (4) Medicaid contractors in each region served by the council;
  - (5) Safety net clinics;
    - (6) Health collaboratives;
  - (7) The dental profession;
- 32 (8) School and educational service districts;
  - (9) The business community;
    - (10) Primary care clinics; and
  - (11) Independent physician associations.
- 36 SECTION 4. Section 16, chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011, is amended to read:
  - Sec. 16. (1) As used in this section, "regional health improvement plan" means a four-year comprehensive, coordinated regional plan incorporating and replacing all health and human service plans prescribed by the Oregon Health Authority, including but not limited to:
    - (a) Plans required under ORS 430.630, 430.640, 431.385 and 624.510; and
    - (b) The community health assessment and community health improvement plan described in ORS 414.627.
  - (2)(a) The Central Oregon Health Council shall conduct a regional health assessment and adopt a regional health improvement plan to serve as a strategic population health and health care system service plan for the region served by the council. The plan must define the scope of the activities,

- services and responsibilities that the council proposes to assume upon implementation of the plan.
  - (b) The activities, services and responsibilities that the council proposes to assume under the plan may include, but are not limited to:
  - (A) Analysis and development of public and private resources, capacities and metrics based on ongoing regional health assessment activities and population health priorities;
    - (B) Health policy;
    - (C) System design;

- (D) Outcome and quality improvement;
- (E) Integration of service delivery; and
  - (F) Workforce development.
- (3) The council shall submit the plan adopted under subsection (2) of this section to the authority for approval. The authority may approve the plan or return it to the council for modification prior to approval.
- (4) The regional health improvement plan adopted under this section shall serve as a guide for entities serving medical assistance recipients, public health authorities, mental health authorities, health care systems, payer groups, provider groups and health coalitions in the counties served by the council.
- **SECTION 5.** Section 18, chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011, as amended by section 64, chapter 37, Oregon Laws 2012, is amended to read:
- Sec. 18. [No later than the dates of the convening of the 2013 and of the 2015 Legislative Assemblies as specified in ORS 171.010,] The Central Oregon Health Council shall report to the [Seventy-seventh and Seventy-eighth] Seventy-ninth Legislative [Assemblies] Assembly in the manner provided by ORS 192.245 about the results of the implementation of the regional health improvement plan adopted under section 16, [of this 2011 Act] chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011. The report shall include, but is not limited to, performance measures of improvement of health outcomes, improvement in care and reductions in the cost of care.
  - SECTION 6. Section 19, chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011, is amended to read:
- Sec. 19. Sections 13 to 18, [of this 2011 Act] chapter 418, Oregon Laws 2011, are repealed on January 2, [2016] 2022.

# House Bill 2833

Sponsored by Representative WITT, Senator GIROD; Representatives BARKER, BOONE, DOHERTY, ESQUIVEL, EVANS, GILLIAM, GORSEK, HOYLE, JOHNSON, KRIEGER, LIVELY, REARDON, WHISNANT, Senators BAERTSCHIGER JR, DEMBROW, FERRIOLI, HASS, KNOPP, ROBLAN

#### **SUMMARY**

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure as introduced.

Adds woody biomass to types of green energy technology for which contracting agency must set aside 1.5 percent of contract price to include in public building.

Takes effect on 91st day following adjournment sine die.

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to green energy technology for public buildings; creating new provisions; amending ORS 279C.527; and prescribing an effective date.

### Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

- SECTION 1. ORS 279C.527 is amended to read:
- 6 279C.527. (1) As used in this section and in ORS 279C.528:
  - (a)(A) "Green energy technology" means a system that employs:
- 8 (i) Solar or geothermal energy directly for space or water heating or to generate electricity; 9 [or]
  - (ii) Building design that uses solar energy passively to reduce energy use from other sources by at least 20 percent from a level required under ORS 276.900 to 276.915 or achieved in buildings constructed according to state building code standards that the Department of Consumer and Business Services approves under ORS 455.496[.]; or
  - (iii) Woody biomass as a fuel for space heating or water heating or as a fuel for a combined heat and power system.
    - (B) "Green energy technology" does not include a system that:
  - (i) Uses water, groundwater or the ground as a heat source at temperatures less than 140 degrees Fahrenheit; or
  - (ii) Incorporates solar energy indirectly into other methods for generating energy, such as from the action of waves on water, from hydroelectric facilities or from wind-powered turbines.
  - (b) "Public building" means a building that a public body, as defined in ORS 174.109, owns or controls, and that is:
    - (A) Used or occupied by employees of the public body; or
    - (B) Used for conducting public business.
  - (2)(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, a public improvement contract for the construction of a public building or for the reconstruction or major renovation of a public building, if the cost of the reconstruction or major renovation exceeds 50 percent of the value of the public building, [shall] must contain and reserve an amount equal to at least 1.5 percent of the total contract price for the purpose of including appropriate green energy technology as part of the construction, reconstruction or major renovation of the public building.

NOTE: Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter [italic and bracketed] is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- (b) A public improvement contract to construct, reconstruct or renovate a public building may provide for constructing green energy technology at a site that is located away from the site of the public building if:
- (A) Constructing green energy technology away from the site of the public building and using the energy from the green energy technology at the site of the public building is more cost-effective, taking into account additional costs associated with transmitting generated energy to the site of the public building, than is constructing and using green energy technology at the site of the public building;
- (B) The green energy technology that is located away from the site of the public building is located within this state and in the same county as, or in a county adjacent to, the site of the public building; and
- (C) The public improvement contract provides that all of the moneys for constructing green energy technology away from the site of the public building must fund new energy generating capacity that does not replace or constitute a purchase and use of energy generated from green energy technology that:
- (i) Employs solar energy and that existed on the date that the original building permit for the public building was issued; [or]
- (ii) Employs geothermal energy and for which construction was completed before January 1, 2013[.]; or
- (iii) Employs woody biomass as a fuel for space heating or water heating or as fuel for a combined heat and power system, and that existed on the date on which the original building permit for the public building was issued.
- (c) In making the determination required under paragraph (b)(A) of this subsection, a contracting agency shall[:]
- [(A)] compare the costs of constructing green energy technology that employs [solar energy] a particular fuel source or method of energy generation at the site of the public building only with the corresponding costs of green energy technology that employs [solar energy] the same fuel source or method of energy generation at a location away from the site of the public building. [; and]
- [(B) Compare the costs of green energy technology that employs geothermal energy at the site of the public building only with the corresponding costs of green energy technology that employs geothermal energy at a location away from the site of the public building.]
- (3) Before entering into a public improvement contract described in subsection (2) of this section, a contracting agency shall prepare a written determination of whether including green energy technology as part of the construction, reconstruction or major renovation of the public building is appropriate. The contracting agency shall list in the determination the total contract price and specify the amount the agency intends to expend on including green energy technology as part of the construction, reconstruction or major renovation. The State Department of Energy shall develop a form that a contracting agency may use to prepare the written determination described in this subsection.
- (4)(a) If the contracting agency determines that green energy technology is not appropriate for the public building, subsection (2) of this section does not apply to the public improvement contract. A contracting agency's determination under this paragraph must consider whether constructing green energy technology at the site of the public building is appropriate and whether constructing green energy technology away from the site of the public building and in accordance with subsection

- (2)(b) and (c) of this section is appropriate.
  - (b) If subsection (2) of this section does not apply to the public improvement contract:
- (A) The contracting agency shall spend an amount equal to at least 1.5 percent of the total contract price to include appropriate green energy technology as part of a future public building project; and
- (B) The amount the contracting agency spends on the future public building project in accordance with subparagraph (A) of this paragraph is in addition to any amount required under subsection (2) of this section for including appropriate green energy technology as part of the future public building project.
- (5) Subsection (4)(b) of this section does not apply to a public improvement contract for which state funds are not directly or indirectly used.
- (6)(a) This section does not exempt an authorized state agency, as defined in ORS 276.905, from complying with ORS 276.900 to 276.915, except that an authorized state agency, without complying with ORS 276.900 to 276.915, may determine that green energy technology is appropriate to include as part of the construction, reconstruction or major renovation of a public building.
- (b) A contracting agency may not use an amount described in subsection (4)(b) of this section to comply with requirements set forth in ORS 276.900 to 276.915 or with a state building code standard that the Department of Consumer and Business Services approves under ORS 455.496.
- (7) Notwithstanding the provisions of ORS 174.108 (3), this section applies to intergovernmental entities described in ORS 174.108 (3).
- SECTION 2. The amendments to ORS 279C.527 by section 1 of this 2015 Act apply to public improvement contracts that a contracting agency first advertises or otherwise solicits or, if the contracting agency does not advertise or solicit the public improvement contract, to public improvement contracts into which the contracting agency first enters on or after the effective date of this 2015 Act.
- SECTION 3. This 2015 Act takes effect on the 91st day after the date on which the 2015 regular session of the Seventy-eighth Legislative Assembly adjourns sine die.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

# Senate Bill 516

Sponsored by Senator KNOPP, Representatives BUEHLER, WHISNANT

#### SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure as introduced.

Requires Housing and Community Services Department to dedicate and use portion of moneys deposited in certain housing accounts from County Assessment and Taxation Fund for benefit of county from which fees deposited into fund were charged and collected.

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to use of fees charged by counties for certain housing programs; creating new provisions; and amending ORS 458.620, 458.650, 458.655 and 458.665.

#### Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. ORS 458.665 is amended to read:

458.665. (1) The Housing and Community Services Department shall administer the General Housing Account.

- (2) The department shall disburse moneys credited to the account to accomplish the purposes described in ORS 456.515 to 456.725, except that:
- (a) An amount equal to 25 percent of moneys deposited in the account pursuant to ORS 294.187 (2)(b) is dedicated for expenditure to meet the critical housing needs of veterans in this state; and
- (b) An amount equal to 75 percent of moneys deposited in the account pursuant to ORS 294.187 (2)(b) is dedicated and must be used for the benefit of the county from which the fees deposited in the account were charged and collected pursuant to ORS 205.323 (4)(c).
- (3) The department may disburse moneys in the account by contract, grant, loan or otherwise as the department determines necessary.
  - (4) The department may set interest rates on loans made with moneys in the account.
- (5) The department shall establish guidelines for the types of loans financed with moneys in the account by rule.
- (6) The department may use moneys in the account to pay allowable administrative expenses incurred under ORS 456.515 to 456.725.
- (7) The department may, in the director's discretion, return moneys received for deposit in the account to the original source of the moneys.
- (8) The department may accept moneys for deposit in the account pursuant to ORS 458.620 (4) and enter into agreements regarding the use of moneys deposited with the original source of the moneys.
  - (9) The department shall adopt rules that:
- (a) Subject to subsection (2) of this section, govern the allocation of moneys deposited in the account to best meet critical housing needs and build organizational capacity of partners throughout this state; and

NOTE: Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter [italic and bracketed] is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

(b) Require equitable distribution of resources over time based on objective measures of need, including the number and percentage of low and very low income households in an area.

## SECTION 2. ORS 458.650 is amended to read:

- 458.650. (1) The Emergency Housing Account shall be administered by the Housing and Community Services Department to assist homeless persons and those persons who are at risk of becoming homeless[.], except that:
- (a) An amount equal to 25 percent of moneys deposited in the account pursuant to ORS 294.187 (2)(b) is dedicated for expenditure for assistance to veterans who are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless; and
- (b) An amount equal to 75 percent of moneys deposited in the account pursuant to ORS 294.187 (2)(b) is dedicated and must be used for the benefit of the county from which the fees deposited in the account were charged and collected pursuant to ORS 205.323 (4)(c). [For purposes of this section, "account" means the Emergency Housing Account.]
- (2) The State Housing Council shall develop policy for giving grants to organizations that shall use the funds to provide to low and very low income persons, including but not limited to, persons more than 65 years of age, persons with disabilities, farmworkers and Native Americans:
  - (a) Emergency shelters and attendant services;
- (b) Transitional housing services designed to assist persons to make the transition from homelessness to permanent housing and economic independence;
- (c) Supportive housing services to enable persons to continue living in their own homes or to provide in-home services for such persons for whom suitable programs do not exist in their geographic area;
  - (d) Programs that provide emergency payment of home payments, rents or utilities; or
  - (e) Some or all of the needs described in paragraphs (a) to (d) of this subsection.
- (3)(a) The council shall require as a condition of awarding a grant that the organization demonstrate to the satisfaction of the council that the organization has the capacity to deliver any service proposed by the organization.
- (b) Any funds granted under this section shall not be used to replace existing funds. Funds granted under this section may be used to supplement existing funds. An organization may use funds to support existing programs or to establish new programs.
- (c) The council, by policy, shall give preference in granting funds to those organizations that coordinate services with those programs established under ORS 458.625.
- (4) The department may expend funds from the account for administration of the account as provided for in the legislatively approved budget, as that term is defined in ORS 291.002, for the department.

#### SECTION 3. ORS 458.655 is amended to read:

- 458.655. (1) The Home Ownership Assistance Account shall be administered by the Housing and Community Services Department to expand this state's supply of homeownership housing for low and very low income families and individuals, including, but not limited to, persons over 65 years of age, persons with disabilities, minorities, veterans and farmworkers[.], except that:
- (a) An amount equal to 25 percent of moneys deposited in the account pursuant to ORS 294.187 (2)(b) is dedicated for expenditure to expand this state's supply of homeownership housing for low and very low income veterans and families of veterans; and
- (b) An amount equal to 75 percent of moneys deposited in the account pursuant to ORS 294.187 (2)(b) is dedicated and must be used for the benefit of the county from which the fees

tribution policy for the Housing Development and Guarantee Account.]

deposited in the account were charged and collected pursuant to ORS 205.323 (4)(c). [The State Housing Council shall have a policy of distributing funds statewide while concentrating funds in those areas of this state with the greatest need, as determined by the council, for low and very low income homeownership housing. However, the council's policy of distributing funds may differ from the dis-

- (2) Funds in the Home Ownership Assistance Account shall be granted to organizations that both sponsor and manage low income homeownership programs, including lease-to-own programs, for the construction of new homeownership housing or for the acquisition or rehabilitation of existing structures for homeownership housing for persons of low or very low income, or both.
- (3) The council shall develop a policy for disbursing grants for any or all of the following purposes:
- (a) To aid low income homeownership programs, including program administration, in purchasing land, providing assistance with down payment costs, or providing homeownership training and qualification services or any combination thereof. Funds in the Home Ownership Assistance Account may not be used by an organization to pay for its general operations or to pay for more than 25 percent of construction or rehabilitation costs.
- (b) To match public and private moneys available from other sources for purposes of the provision of low or very low income homeownership housing.
- (c) To administer the Home Ownership Assistance Account as provided for in the legislatively approved budget, as that term is defined in ORS 291.002, for the department.
- (4) The council, in developing policy under subsection (3) of this section, shall give preference in making grants to those entities that propose to:
- (a) Provide the greatest number of low and very low income homeownership housing units constructed, acquired or rehabilitated for the amount of account money expended by matching account funds with other grant, loan or eligible in-kind contributions;
- (b) Ensure the longest use for the units as low or very low income homeownership housing units, such as by including some form of equity recapture, land trust or shared equity provisions, as determined by the council;
- (c) Include social services for occupants and proposed occupants of the proposed housing, including but not limited to, programs that address home health care, mental health care, alcohol and drug treatment and post-treatment care, child care, homeownership training, mortgage qualification service, credit repair and case management; and
- (d) Support a comprehensive strategy to reverse the decreasing rates of homeownership among minorities, giving priority to activities that support adopted comprehensive community plans that incorporate recognized best practices or demonstrate proven success in increasing homeownership for minorities.

#### SECTION 4. ORS 458.620 is amended to read:

- 458.620. (1) There is created, separate and distinct from the General Fund of the State Treasury, the Oregon Housing Fund, which consists of five separate revolving accounts:
  - (a) The Housing Development and Guarantee Account;
  - (b) The Emergency Housing Account;
  - (c) The Home Ownership Assistance Account;
- 43 (d) The Farmworker Housing Development Account; and
- 44 (e) The General Housing Account.
  - (2) Earnings on investment of moneys in:

- (a) The Housing Development and Guarantee Account accrue to that account.
- (b) The Emergency Housing Account accrue to that account.
  - (c) The Home Ownership Assistance Account accrue to that account.
  - (d) The Farmworker Housing Development Account accrue to that account.
  - (e) The General Housing Account accrue to that account.
  - (3)(a) Moneys in the Housing Development and Guarantee Account are appropriated continuously to the Housing and Community Services Department to carry out the provisions of ORS 458.625 and 458.630.
  - (b) Moneys in the Emergency Housing Account are appropriated continuously to the department to carry out the provisions of ORS 458.650.
  - (c) Moneys in the Home Ownership Assistance Account are appropriated continuously to the department to carry out the provisions of ORS 458.655.
  - (d) Moneys in the Farmworker Housing Development Account are appropriated continuously to the department to carry out the provisions of ORS 458.660.
  - (e) Moneys in the General Housing Account are appropriated continuously to the department to carry out the provisions of ORS [456.515 to 456.725] 458.665.
  - (4) Individuals and corporations, both for profit or nonprofit, may make monetary contributions to be credited to:
    - (a) The Housing Development and Guarantee Account; or
    - (b) The General Housing Account.

SECTION 5. The amendments to ORS 458.620, 458.650, 458.655 and 458.665 by sections 1 to 4 of this 2015 Act apply to moneys deposited in the General Housing Account, the Emergency Housing Account and the Home Ownership Assistance Account on or after the effective date of this 2015 Act.

# A-Engrossed Senate Bill 133

Ordered by the Senate February 23 Including Senate Amendments dated February 23

Sponsored by Senator DEVLIN, Representative VEGA PEDERSON (Presession filed.)

#### **SUMMARY**

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure.

[Allows Department of Consumer and Business Services to enter into agreement with public body to allow access to public body's construction-related services through department's electronic building codes information system. Provides for system information and services to include coordinating and tracking construction-related services.]

Allows Department of Consumer and Business Services to make system for electronic access to building code information available for other purposes. Allows department to enter into agreement with public body to allow access to public body's construction-related services through system. Prohibits department disciplinary actions or sanctions against municipality due to municipality nonparticipation in system.

Eliminates sunset on building permit surcharge used for financing operation of electronic building codes system. Reduces surcharge rate.

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to the Department of Consumer and Business Services system for electronic access to building code information; creating new provisions; amending ORS 455.095, 455.097, 455.185 and 455.210; and repealing section 8, chapter 69, Oregon Laws 2007.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. As used in ORS 455.095, 455.097 and 455.185, "construction-related" means consisting of, or involving the approving, enabling, expediting or facilitating of, the alteration, construction, demolishing, development, improvement, inspection, moving, operation, repair or wrecking of, or the addition to or subtraction from, public improvements, real property or a structure.

SECTION 2. ORS 455.095 is amended to read:

455.095. (1) The Department of Consumer and Business Services shall develop and implement a system that provides electronic access to building codes information. The department shall make the system accessible for use by municipalities in carrying out the building inspection programs administered and enforced by the municipalities.

- (2) The department may also make the system available for use by other public bodies that provide construction-related services including, but not limited to, public bodies described in ORS 455.185 (4). The [building codes] information and services available through the system may include, but need not be limited to:
  - [(1)] (a) Licensing, permit, inspection and other relevant information;
- [(2)] **(b)** Access to forms; 21
  - [(3)] (c) Electronic submission of permit applications;
- 23 [(4)] (d) Electronic submission of plans for review;

NOTE: Matter in boldfaced type in an amended section is new; matter [italic and bracketed] is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in boldfaced type.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- [(5)] (e) Electronic issuance of minor label or other appropriate permits;
- [(6)] (f) Access to permit and inspection processes; [and]

- [(7)] (g) Scheduling of inspections, tracking of corrections and granting of approvals[.]; and
- (h) The coordination and tracking of construction-related services.
- (3) The use of the system described in this section by a municipality or other public body is voluntary. Nonparticipation in the system by a municipality or other public body is not grounds for the department to suspend, revoke authorization for or assume the administration of a building inspection program described in ORS 455.148 or 455.150 or to impose other disciplinary actions or sanctions against a municipality or other public body.

SECTION 3. ORS 455.097 is amended to read:

455.097. (1) As used in this section, "form and format":

- (a) Means the arrangement, organization, configuration, structure or style of, or method of delivery for, providing required information or providing the substantive equivalent of required information.
- (b) Does not mean altering the substance of information or the addition or omission of information.
- (2) The purpose of this section and ORS 455.095 is to enable the Department of Consumer and Business Services to develop and implement a system that:
  - (a) Provides electronic access to building codes information;
  - (b) Is designed to offer a full range of electronic building permits services;
  - (c) Allows the streamlining of building inspection services;
- (d) Provides a uniform form and format for submitting building codes information electronically; [and]
- (e) Is available for use by any municipality administering and enforcing a building inspection program[.]; and
  - (f) At the discretion of the department:
- (A) Is available for use by other public bodies that provide construction-related services; and
- (B) Supports access for other purposes that may include, but need not be limited to, access for the coordination and tracking of construction-related services.
- (3) The department shall adopt rules to govern the form and format of building permit applications, building plans, specifications, [and] other building program information and any other information exchanged through the electronic building codes information system described in ORS 455.095.
- (4) The department may waive a contrary form and format requirement imposed by statute or ordinance or by the rules of another agency for the submission of information in physical form to the extent the waiver is necessary to facilitate the submission of the information electronically. The department may accept an electronic reproduction of a signature, stamp, seal, certification or notarization as the equivalent of the original or may accept the substitution of identifying information for the signature, stamp, seal, certification or notarization. The department may not waive a requirement imposed by statute or ordinance or by the rules of another agency, other than a form and format requirement.
- (5) A person exchanging information through the electronic building codes information system in a form and format acceptable to the department is not subject to any licensing sanction, civil penalty, fine, permit disapproval or revocation or other sanction for failure to comply with a form

or format requirement imposed by statute, ordinance or rule for submission of the information in physical form, including but not limited to any requirement that the information be in a particular form or of a particular size, be submitted with multiple copies, be physically attached to another document, be an original document or be signed, stamped, sealed, certified or notarized.

#### **SECTION 4.** ORS 455.185 is amended to read:

- 455.185. (1) Notwithstanding ORS 455.148, 455.150 and 455.153, upon request by one or more municipalities and with the consent of all affected parties, the Director of the Department of Consumer and Business Services may enter into an agreement for the Department of Consumer and Business Services to uniformly administer and enforce all or a portion of a building inspection program within a geographic area. The geographic area may be a municipality, a region comprising parts of more than one municipality or a region comprising multiple municipalities. The geographic area need not correspond to the jurisdictional boundaries of municipalities. The agreement may provide for the department to perform administration and enforcement for a specified period or for carrying out one or more particular projects.
- (2) The terms of an agreement under subsection (1) of this section may specify whether the department is to utilize department resources or combine resources with one or more of the municipalities to carry out an agreement. An agreement may combine department and local government resources in any manner that the parties believe will provide for the efficient and uniform administration of the building inspection program within the geographic area, including but not limited to full, divided, mutual or joint performance of any of the administrative or enforcement functions by any of the parties to the agreement. A decision by the director regarding whether to enter into an agreement under subsection (1) of this section, and the content of any agreement that the director enters into under subsection (1) of this section, is not subject to review by the Attorney General or the Oregon Department of Administrative Services and is subject to challenge or appeal under ORS chapter 183 only for failure to comply with an express requirement created under ORS 455.185 to 455.198.
- (3) An agreement under **subsection** (1) of this section is not an abandonment of a building inspection program for purposes of ORS 455.148 or 455.150.
- (4) If the Department of Consumer and Business Services enters into an agreement under subsection (1) of this section, the department and a public body that offers construction-related services in the geographic area may enter into an agreement for providing access to the construction-related services on the electronic information system described in ORS 455.095 and 455.097. The agreement may include, but need not be limited to, provision for access that allows the electronic submission of an application to the public body for a construction-related permit. As used in this subsection, "public body" has the meaning given that term in ORS 174.109.

**SECTION 5.** ORS 455.210, as amended by section 6, chapter 69, Oregon Laws 2007, and section 30, chapter 473, Oregon Laws 2011, is amended to read:

455.210. (1) Fees shall be prescribed as required by ORS 455.020 for plan review and permits issued by the Department of Consumer and Business Services for the construction, reconstruction, alteration and repair of prefabricated structures and of buildings and other structures and the installation of mechanical heating and ventilating devices and equipment. The fees may not exceed 130 percent of the fee schedule printed in the "Uniform Building Code," 1979 Edition, and in the "Uniform Mechanical Code," 1979 Edition, both published by the International Conference of Building Officials. Fees are not effective until approved by the Oregon Department of Administrative Ser-

vices.

- (2) Notwithstanding subsection (1) of this section, the maximum fee the Director of the Department of Consumer and Business Services may prescribe for a limited plan review for fire and life safety as required under ORS 479.155 shall be 40 percent of the prescribed permit fee.
- (3)(a) A municipality may adopt by ordinance or regulation such fees as may be necessary and reasonable to provide for the administration and enforcement of any specialty code or codes for which the municipality has assumed responsibility under ORS 455.148 or 455.150. A municipality shall give the director notice of the proposed adoption of a new or increased fee under this subsection. The municipality shall give the notice to the director at the time the municipality provides the opportunity for public comment under ORS 294.160 regarding the fee or, if the proposed fee is contained in an estimate of municipal budget resources, at the time notice of the last budget meeting is published under ORS 294.426.
- (b) Ten or more persons or an association with 10 or more members may appeal the adoption of a fee described in this subsection to the Director of the Department of Consumer and Business Services. The persons or association must file the appeal no later than 60 days after the director receives notice of the proposed adoption of the fee from the municipality under paragraph (a) of this subsection. However, if the municipality failed to give notice to the director, an appeal may be filed with the director within one year after adoption of the new or increased fee. Upon receiving a timely appeal, the director shall, after notice to affected parties and hearing, review the municipality's fee adoption process and the costs of administering and enforcing the specialty code or codes referred to in paragraph (a) of this subsection. The director shall approve the fee if the director feels the fee is necessary and reasonable. If the director does not approve the fee upon appeal, the fee is not effective. The appeal process provided in this paragraph does not apply to fees that have been submitted for a vote and approved by a majority of the electors voting on the question.
- (c) Fees collected by a municipality under this subsection shall be used for the administration and enforcement of a building inspection program for which the municipality has assumed responsibility under ORS 455.148 or 455.150.
- (d) For purposes of paragraph (b) of this subsection, in determining whether a fee is reasonable the director shall consider whether:
- (A) The fee is the same amount as or closely approximates the amount of the fee charged by other municipalities of a similar size and geographic location for the same level of service;
- (B) The fee is calculated with the same or a similar calculation method as the fee charged by other municipalities for the same service;
- (C) The fee is the same type as the fee charged by other municipalities for the same level of service; and
- (D) The municipality, in adopting the fee, complied with ORS 294.160, 294.361 and 294.426 and this section and standards adopted by the director under ORS 455.148 (11) or 455.150 (11).
  - (4) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter:
- (a) For the purpose of partially defraying state administrative costs, there is imposed a surcharge in the amount of four percent of the total permit fees or, if the applicant chooses to pay an hourly rate instead of purchasing a permit, four percent of the total hourly charges collected.
- (b) For the purpose of partially defraying state inspection costs, there is imposed a surcharge in the amount of two percent of the total permit fees or, if the applicant chooses to pay an hourly rate instead of purchasing a permit, two percent of the total hourly charges collected.

(c) For the purpose of defraying the cost of administering and enforcing the state building code,
there is imposed a surcharge on permit fees and on hourly charges collected instead of permit fees.
The surcharge may not exceed one percent of the total permit fees or, if the applicant chooses to
pay an hourly rate instead of purchasing a permit, one percent of the total hourly charges collected.
(d) For the purpose of defraying the cost of developing and administering the electronic
building codes information system described in ORS 455.095 and 455.097, there is imposed a
surcharge in the amount of four percent on permit fees, or if the applicant chooses to pay
an hourly rate instead of purchasing a permit, four percent of the total hourly charges col-

- (5) Municipalities shall collect and remit surcharges imposed under subsection (4) of this section to the director as provided in ORS 455.220.
- (6) The director shall adopt administrative rules to allow reduced fees for review of plans that have been previously reviewed.

SECTION 6. Section 8, chapter 69, Oregon Laws 2007, is repealed.

# House Bill 3208

Sponsored by COMMITTEE ON RURAL COMMUNITIES, LAND USE, AND WATER

#### SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure as introduced.

Modifies definition of "land use decision" to exclude decisions pertaining to construction, operation, maintenance or improvement of water delivery infrastructure that are made by districts for irrigation, drainage, water improvement or water control.

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to decisions affecting lands of district; creating new provisions; and amending ORS 197.015.

#### Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. ORS 197.015 is amended to read:

197.015. As used in ORS chapters 195, 196 and 197, unless the context requires otherwise:

- (1) "Acknowledgment" means a commission order that certifies that a comprehensive plan and land use regulations, land use regulation or plan or regulation amendment complies with the goals or certifies that Metro land use planning goals and objectives, Metro regional framework plan, amendments to Metro planning goals and objectives or amendments to the Metro regional framework plan comply with the goals.
  - (2) "Board" means the Land Use Board of Appeals.
- (3) "Carport" means a stationary structure consisting of a roof with its supports and not more than one wall, or storage cabinet substituting for a wall, and used for sheltering a motor vehicle.
  - (4) "Commission" means the Land Conservation and Development Commission.
- (5) "Comprehensive plan" means a generalized, coordinated land use map and policy statement of the governing body of a local government that interrelates all functional and natural systems and activities relating to the use of lands, including but not limited to sewer and water systems, transportation systems, educational facilities, recreational facilities, and natural resources and air and water quality management programs. "Comprehensive" means all-inclusive, both in terms of the geographic area covered and functional and natural activities and systems occurring in the area covered by the plan. "General nature" means a summary of policies and proposals in broad categories and does not necessarily indicate specific locations of any area, activity or use. A plan is "coordinated" when the needs of all levels of governments, semipublic and private agencies and the citizens of Oregon have been considered and accommodated as much as possible. "Land" includes water, both surface and subsurface, and the air.
  - (6) "Department" means the Department of Land Conservation and Development.
  - (7) "Director" means the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development.
- (8) "Goals" means the mandatory statewide land use planning standards adopted by the commission pursuant to ORS chapters 195, 196 and 197.
- (9) "Guidelines" means suggested approaches designed to aid cities and counties in preparation, adoption and implementation of comprehensive plans in compliance with goals and to aid state

NOTE: Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter [italic and bracketed] is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- agencies and special districts in the preparation, adoption and implementation of plans, programs and regulations in compliance with goals. Guidelines shall be advisory and shall not limit state agencies, cities, counties and special districts to a single approach.
  - (10) "Land use decision":
  - (a) Includes:

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

- (A) A final decision or determination made by a local government or special district that concerns the adoption, amendment or application of:
  - (i) The goals;
- (ii) A comprehensive plan provision;
- (iii) A land use regulation; or
- (iv) A new land use regulation;
- 12 (B) A final decision or determination of a state agency other than the commission with respect 13 to which the agency is required to apply the goals; or
  - (C) A decision of a county planning commission made under ORS 433.763;
  - (b) Does not include a decision of a local government:
  - (A) That is made under land use standards that do not require interpretation or the exercise of policy or legal judgment;
  - (B) That approves or denies a building permit issued under clear and objective land use standards:
    - (C) That is a limited land use decision;
  - (D) That determines final engineering design, construction, operation, maintenance, repair or preservation of a transportation facility that is otherwise authorized by and consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations;
    - (E) That is an expedited land division as described in ORS 197.360;
  - (F) That approves, pursuant to ORS 480.450 (7), the siting, installation, maintenance or removal of a liquefied petroleum gas container or receptacle regulated exclusively by the State Fire Marshal under ORS 480.410 to 480.460;
  - (G) That approves or denies approval of a final subdivision or partition plat or that determines whether a final subdivision or partition plat substantially conforms to the tentative subdivision or partition plan; or
  - (H) That a proposed state agency action subject to ORS 197.180 (1) is compatible with the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations implementing the plan, if:
  - (i) The local government has already made a land use decision authorizing a use or activity that encompasses the proposed state agency action;
  - (ii) The use or activity that would be authorized, funded or undertaken by the proposed state agency action is allowed without review under the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations implementing the plan; or
  - (iii) The use or activity that would be authorized, funded or undertaken by the proposed state agency action requires a future land use review under the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations implementing the plan;
    - (c) Does not include a decision by a school district to close a school;
  - (d) Does not include, except as provided in ORS 215.213 (13)(c) or 215.283 (6)(c), authorization of an outdoor mass gathering as defined in ORS 433.735, or other gathering of fewer than 3,000 persons that is not anticipated to continue for more than 120 hours in any three-month period; and
    - (e) Does not include:

- (A) A writ of mandamus issued by a circuit court in accordance with ORS 215.429 or 227.179;
- (B) Any local decision or action taken on an application subject to ORS 215.427 or 227.178 after a petition for a writ of mandamus has been filed under ORS 215.429 or 227.179; [or]
  - (C) A state agency action subject to ORS 197.180 (1), if:
- (i) The local government with land use jurisdiction over a use or activity that would be authorized, funded or undertaken by the state agency as a result of the state agency action has already made a land use decision approving the use or activity; or
- (ii) A use or activity that would be authorized, funded or undertaken by the state agency as a result of the state agency action is allowed without review under the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations implementing the plan; or
- (D) A decision of a district, as defined in ORS 540.505, pertaining to the construction, operation, maintenance or improvement of water delivery infrastructure, including piping or lining a canal, that is owned or operated by the district and that is within a right-of-way or easement of the district.
- (11) "Land use regulation" means any local government zoning ordinance, land division ordinance adopted under ORS 92.044 or 92.046 or similar general ordinance establishing standards for implementing a comprehensive plan.
  - (12) "Limited land use decision":

- (a) Means a final decision or determination made by a local government pertaining to a site within an urban growth boundary that concerns:
- (A) The approval or denial of a tentative subdivision or partition plan, as described in ORS 92.040 (1).
- (B) The approval or denial of an application based on discretionary standards designed to regulate the physical characteristics of a use permitted outright, including but not limited to site review and design review.
- (b) Does not mean a final decision made by a local government pertaining to a site within an urban growth boundary that concerns approval or denial of a final subdivision or partition plat or that determines whether a final subdivision or partition plat substantially conforms to the tentative subdivision or partition plan.
- (13) "Local government" means any city, county or metropolitan service district formed under ORS chapter 268 or an association of local governments performing land use planning functions under ORS 195.025.
  - (14) "Metro" means a metropolitan service district organized under ORS chapter 268.
- (15) "Metro planning goals and objectives" means the land use goals and objectives that a metropolitan service district may adopt under ORS 268.380 (1)(a). The goals and objectives do not constitute a comprehensive plan.
- (16) "Metro regional framework plan" means the regional framework plan required by the 1992 Metro Charter or its separate components. Neither the regional framework plan nor its individual components constitute a comprehensive plan.
- (17) "New land use regulation" means a land use regulation other than an amendment to an acknowledged land use regulation adopted by a local government that already has a comprehensive plan and land regulations acknowledged under ORS 197.251.
- (18) "Person" means any individual, partnership, corporation, association, governmental subdivision or agency or public or private organization of any kind. The Land Conservation and Development Commission or its designee is considered a person for purposes of appeal under ORS

chapters 195 and 197.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

- (19) "Special district" means any unit of local government, other than a city, county, metropolitan service district formed under ORS chapter 268 or an association of local governments performing land use planning functions under ORS 195.025, authorized and regulated by statute and includes but is not limited to water control districts, domestic water associations and water cooperatives, irrigation districts, port districts, regional air quality control authorities, fire districts, school districts, hospital districts, mass transit districts and sanitary districts.
- (20) "Urban unincorporated community" means an area designated in a county's acknowledged comprehensive plan as an urban unincorporated community after December 5, 1994.
- (21) "Voluntary association of local governments" means a regional planning agency in this state officially designated by the Governor pursuant to the federal Office of Management and Budget Circular A-95 as a regional clearinghouse.
- (22) "Wetlands" means those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration that are sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.
- SECTION 2. ORS 197.015, as amended by section 11, chapter 575, Oregon Laws 2013, is amended to read:
- 197.015. As used in ORS chapters 195, 196 and 197 and ORS 197A.300 to 197A.325, unless the context requires otherwise:
- (1) "Acknowledgment" means a commission order that certifies that a comprehensive plan and land use regulations, land use regulation or plan or regulation amendment complies with the goals or certifies that Metro land use planning goals and objectives, Metro regional framework plan, amendments to Metro planning goals and objectives or amendments to the Metro regional framework plan comply with the goals.
  - (2) "Board" means the Land Use Board of Appeals.
- (3) "Carport" means a stationary structure consisting of a roof with its supports and not more than one wall, or storage cabinet substituting for a wall, and used for sheltering a motor vehicle.
  - (4) "Commission" means the Land Conservation and Development Commission.
- (5) "Comprehensive plan" means a generalized, coordinated land use map and policy statement of the governing body of a local government that interrelates all functional and natural systems and activities relating to the use of lands, including but not limited to sewer and water systems, transportation systems, educational facilities, recreational facilities, and natural resources and air and water quality management programs. "Comprehensive" means all-inclusive, both in terms of the geographic area covered and functional and natural activities and systems occurring in the area covered by the plan. "General nature" means a summary of policies and proposals in broad categories and does not necessarily indicate specific locations of any area, activity or use. A plan is "coordinated" when the needs of all levels of governments, semipublic and private agencies and the citizens of Oregon have been considered and accommodated as much as possible. "Land" includes water, both surface and subsurface, and the air.
  - (6) "Department" means the Department of Land Conservation and Development.
  - (7) "Director" means the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development.
- (8) "Goals" means the mandatory statewide land use planning standards adopted by the commission pursuant to ORS chapters 195, 196 and 197.
- (9) "Guidelines" means suggested approaches designed to aid cities and counties in preparation, adoption and implementation of comprehensive plans in compliance with goals and to aid state

- agencies and special districts in the preparation, adoption and implementation of plans, programs and regulations in compliance with goals. Guidelines shall be advisory and shall not limit state agencies, cities, counties and special districts to a single approach.
  - (10) "Land use decision":
  - (a) Includes:

6

7 8

9

10

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

- (A) A final decision or determination made by a local government or special district that concerns the adoption, amendment or application of:
  - (i) The goals;
- (ii) A comprehensive plan provision;
- (iii) A land use regulation; or
- (iv) A new land use regulation;
- 12 (B) A final decision or determination of a state agency other than the commission with respect 13 to which the agency is required to apply the goals; or
  - (C) A decision of a county planning commission made under ORS 433.763;
  - (b) Does not include a decision of a local government:
  - (A) That is made under land use standards that do not require interpretation or the exercise of policy or legal judgment;
  - (B) That approves or denies a building permit issued under clear and objective land use standards;
    - (C) That is a limited land use decision;
    - (D) That determines final engineering design, construction, operation, maintenance, repair or preservation of a transportation facility that is otherwise authorized by and consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations;
      - (E) That is an expedited land division as described in ORS 197.360;
    - (F) That approves, pursuant to ORS 480.450 (7), the siting, installation, maintenance or removal of a liquefied petroleum gas container or receptacle regulated exclusively by the State Fire Marshal under ORS 480.410 to 480.460;
    - (G) That approves or denies approval of a final subdivision or partition plat or that determines whether a final subdivision or partition plat substantially conforms to the tentative subdivision or partition plan; or
    - (H) That a proposed state agency action subject to ORS 197.180 (1) is compatible with the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations implementing the plan, if:
    - (i) The local government has already made a land use decision authorizing a use or activity that encompasses the proposed state agency action;
    - (ii) The use or activity that would be authorized, funded or undertaken by the proposed state agency action is allowed without review under the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations implementing the plan; or
    - (iii) The use or activity that would be authorized, funded or undertaken by the proposed state agency action requires a future land use review under the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations implementing the plan;
      - (c) Does not include a decision by a school district to close a school;
    - (d) Does not include, except as provided in ORS 215.213 (13)(c) or 215.283 (6)(c), authorization of an outdoor mass gathering as defined in ORS 433.735, or other gathering of fewer than 3,000 persons that is not anticipated to continue for more than 120 hours in any three-month period; and
      - (e) Does not include:

- (A) A writ of mandamus issued by a circuit court in accordance with ORS 215.429 or 227.179;
- (B) Any local decision or action taken on an application subject to ORS 215.427 or 227.178 after a petition for a writ of mandamus has been filed under ORS 215.429 or 227.179; [or]
  - (C) A state agency action subject to ORS 197.180 (1), if:
- (i) The local government with land use jurisdiction over a use or activity that would be authorized, funded or undertaken by the state agency as a result of the state agency action has already made a land use decision approving the use or activity; or
- (ii) A use or activity that would be authorized, funded or undertaken by the state agency as a result of the state agency action is allowed without review under the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations implementing the plan; or
- (D) A decision of a district, as defined in ORS 540.505, pertaining to the construction, operation, maintenance or improvement of water delivery infrastructure, including piping or lining a canal, that is owned or operated by the district and that is within a right-of-way or easement of the district.
- (11) "Land use regulation" means any local government zoning ordinance, land division ordinance adopted under ORS 92.044 or 92.046 or similar general ordinance establishing standards for implementing a comprehensive plan.
  - (12) "Limited land use decision":

- (a) Means a final decision or determination made by a local government pertaining to a site within an urban growth boundary that concerns:
- (A) The approval or denial of a tentative subdivision or partition plan, as described in ORS 92.040 (1).
- (B) The approval or denial of an application based on discretionary standards designed to regulate the physical characteristics of a use permitted outright, including but not limited to site review and design review.
- (b) Does not mean a final decision made by a local government pertaining to a site within an urban growth boundary that concerns approval or denial of a final subdivision or partition plat or that determines whether a final subdivision or partition plat substantially conforms to the tentative subdivision or partition plan.
- (13) "Local government" means any city, county or metropolitan service district formed under ORS chapter 268 or an association of local governments performing land use planning functions under ORS 195.025.
  - (14) "Metro" means a metropolitan service district organized under ORS chapter 268.
- (15) "Metro planning goals and objectives" means the land use goals and objectives that a metropolitan service district may adopt under ORS 268.380 (1)(a). The goals and objectives do not constitute a comprehensive plan.
- (16) "Metro regional framework plan" means the regional framework plan required by the 1992 Metro Charter or its separate components. Neither the regional framework plan nor its individual components constitute a comprehensive plan.
- (17) "New land use regulation" means a land use regulation other than an amendment to an acknowledged land use regulation adopted by a local government that already has a comprehensive plan and land regulations acknowledged under ORS 197.251.
- (18) "Person" means any individual, partnership, corporation, association, governmental subdivision or agency or public or private organization of any kind. The Land Conservation and Development Commission or its designee is considered a person for purposes of appeal under ORS

chapters 195 and 197.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

- (19) "Special district" means any unit of local government, other than a city, county, metropolitan service district formed under ORS chapter 268 or an association of local governments performing land use planning functions under ORS 195.025, authorized and regulated by statute and includes but is not limited to water control districts, domestic water associations and water cooperatives, irrigation districts, port districts, regional air quality control authorities, fire districts, school districts, hospital districts, mass transit districts and sanitary districts.
- (20) "Urban unincorporated community" means an area designated in a county's acknowledged comprehensive plan as an urban unincorporated community after December 5, 1994.
- (21) "Voluntary association of local governments" means a regional planning agency in this state officially designated by the Governor pursuant to the federal Office of Management and Budget Circular A-95 as a regional clearinghouse.
- (22) "Wetlands" means those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration that are sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

SECTION 3. The amendments to ORS 197.015 by sections 1 and 2 of this 2015 Act apply to decisions pertaining to the construction, operation, maintenance or improvement of water delivery infrastructure that are made by districts, as defined in ORS 540.505, on or after the effective date of this 2015 Act.

# Senate Bill 359

Printed pursuant to Senate Interim Rule 213.28 by order of the President of the Senate in conformance with presession filing rules, indicating neither advocacy nor opposition on the part of the President (at the request of Senate Interim Committee on Judiciary)

#### SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure as introduced.

Limits amount of appeal fee that city or county may charge for quasi-judicial review of city or county decisions on land use application. Requires city or county to refund appeals fee and transcript fee when appellate authority of city or county declines to review decision.

Prohibits city or county from charging fee for appeal of final decision of city or county to Land

Use Board of Appeals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to fees for appeal of local land use decisions; creating new provisions; and amending ORS 197.835, 215.422 and 227.180.

### Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. ORS 215.422 is amended to read:

215.422. [(1)(a) A party aggrieved by the action of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority may appeal the action to the planning commission or county governing body, or both, however the governing body prescribes. The appellate authority on its own motion may review the action. The procedure and type of hearing for such an appeal or review shall be prescribed by the governing body, but shall not require the notice of appeal to be filed within less than seven days after the date the governing body mails or delivers the decision to the parties.]

- [(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this subsection, the governing body may provide that the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority is the final determination of the county.]
- [(c) The governing body may prescribe, by ordinance or regulation, fees to defray the costs incurred in acting upon an appeal from a hearings officer, planning commission or other designated person. The amount of the fee shall be reasonable and shall be no more than the average cost of such appeals or the actual cost of the appeal, excluding the cost of preparation of a written transcript. The governing body may establish a fee for the preparation of a written transcript. The fee shall be reasonable and shall not exceed the actual cost of preparing the transcript up to \$500. In lieu of a transcript prepared by the governing body and the fee therefor, the governing body shall allow any party to an appeal proceeding held on the record to prepare a transcript of relevant portions of the proceedings conducted at a lower level at the party's own expense. If an appellant prevails at a hearing or on appeal, the transcript fee shall be refunded.]
- [(2) A party aggrieved by the final determination may have the determination reviewed in the manner provided in ORS 197.830 to 197.845.]
  - (1) The governing body of a county, by ordinance or resolution, may:
  - (a) Provide that the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority of

NOTE: Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter [italic and bracketed] is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

the county is the final determination of the county; or

- (b) Choose to act, or designate another entity to act, as an appellate authority to review the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority.
- (2) If the governing body of the county establishes an appellate authority pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section, the governing body of the county, by ordinance or resolution, shall prescribe the procedure and type of hearing to provide for review of a decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, but the governing body may not require that a notice of appeal be filed less than seven days after the date the county mails or delivers the decision to the parties.
- (3) If the governing body of the county establishes an appellate authority pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section:
- (a) A party aggrieved by the action of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority may appeal the decision to the appellate authority; or
  - (b) The appellate authority may review the decision on its own motion.
- (4) To defray the costs incurred in acting upon an appeal from a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, the governing body of the county, by ordinance or resolution, may prescribe:
- (a) A reasonable fee that does not exceed 10 percent of the original application fee or \$1,000, whichever is less, and that excludes the cost to prepare a written transcript of the proceedings to be reviewed.
- (b) A reasonable fee for the preparation of a written transcript of the proceedings to be reviewed that does not exceed the actual cost of preparing the transcript or \$500, whichever is less.
- (5) If an appellate authority of a county declines to review the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, the county shall refund the full amount of appeal and transcript fees collected by the county.
- (6) In lieu of having the county prepare a transcript, a party to an appeal proceeding held on the record may elect to prepare, at the party's own expense, a transcript of relevant portions of the proceedings conducted at a lower level. The county still may charge the transcript fee, but the county shall refund the transcript fee if the party prevails on appeal.
- [(3)] (7) [No] A decision or action of a planning commission or [county governing body shall be] the governing body of a county is not invalid due to ex parte contact or bias resulting from ex parte contact with a member of the decision-making body, if the member of the decision-making body receiving the contact:
- (a) Places on the record the substance of any written or oral ex parte communications concerning the decision or action; and
- (b) Has a public announcement of the content of the communication and of the parties' right to rebut the substance of the communication made at the first hearing following the communication [where] at which action will be considered or taken on the subject to which the communication related.
- [(4)] (8) A communication between county staff and the planning commission or governing body [shall not be considered] is not an exparte contact for the purposes of subsection [(3)] (7) of this section.
- [(5)] (9) Subsection [(3)] (7) of this section does not apply to exparte contact with a hearings officer approved under ORS 215.406 (1).

- (10) A party aggrieved by the final decision of a county may have the final decision reviewed by the Land Use Board of Appeals in the manner provided in ORS 197.830 to 197.845.
- (11) A county may not charge a fee for appeal of a final decision of the county to the board.
- (12) A fee prescribed under this section may not exceed the actual cost to the county for providing the service.

SECTION 2. ORS 227.180 is amended to read:

- 227.180. [(1)(a) A party aggrieved by the action of a hearings officer may appeal the action to the planning commission or council of the city, or both, however the council prescribes. The appellate authority on its own motion may review the action. The procedure for such an appeal or review shall be prescribed by the council, but shall:]
- [(A) Not require that the appeal be filed within less than seven days after the date the governing body mails or delivers the decision of the hearings officer to the parties;]
  - [(B) Require a hearing at least for argument; and]
- [(C) Require that upon appeal or review the appellate authority consider the record of the hearings officer's action. That record need not set forth evidence verbatim.]
- [(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this subsection, the council may provide that the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority in a proceeding for a discretionary permit or zone change is the final determination of the city.]
- [(c) The governing body may prescribe, by ordinance or regulation, fees to defray the costs incurred in acting upon an appeal from a hearings officer, planning commission or other designated person. The amount of the fee shall be reasonable and shall be no more than the average cost of such appeals or the actual cost of the appeal, excluding the cost of preparation of a written transcript. The governing body may establish a fee for the preparation of a written transcript. The fee shall be reasonable and shall not exceed the actual cost of preparing the transcript up to \$500. In lieu of a transcript prepared by the governing body and the fee therefor, the governing body shall allow any party to an appeal proceeding held on the record to prepare a transcript of relevant portions of the proceedings conducted at a lower level at the party's own expense. If an appellant prevails at a hearing or on appeal, the transcript fee shall be refunded.]
- [(2) A party aggrieved by the final determination in a proceeding for a discretionary permit or zone change may have the determination reviewed under ORS 197.830 to 197.845.]
  - (1) The governing body of a city, by ordinance or resolution, may:
- (a) Provide that the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority of the city is the final determination of the city; or
- (b) Choose to act, or designate another entity to act, as an appellate authority to review the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority.
- (2) If the governing body of the city establishes an appellate authority pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section, the governing body of the city, by ordinance or resolution, shall prescribe the procedure and type of hearing to provide for review of a decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, but the governing body may not require that a notice of appeal be filed less than seven days after the date the city mails or delivers the decision to the parties.
- (3) If the governing body of the city establishes an appellate authority pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section:
  - (a) A party aggrieved by the action of a hearings officer or other decision-making au-

thority may appeal the decision to the appellate authority; or

- (b) The appellate authority may review the decision on its own motion.
- (4) To defray the costs incurred in acting upon an appeal from a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, the governing body of the city, by ordinance or resolution, may prescribe:
- (a) A reasonable fee that does not exceed 10 percent of the original application fee or \$1,000, whichever is less, and that excludes the cost to prepare a written transcript of the proceedings to be reviewed.
- (b) A reasonable fee for the preparation of a written transcript of the proceedings to be reviewed that does not exceed the actual cost of preparing the transcript or \$500, whichever is less.
- (5) If an appellate authority of a city declines to review the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, the city shall refund the full amount of appeal and transcript fees collected by the city.
- (6) In lieu of having the city prepare a transcript, a party to an appeal proceeding held on the record may elect to prepare, at the party's own expense, a transcript of relevant portions of the proceedings conducted at a lower level. The city still may charge the transcript fee, but the city shall refund the transcript fee if the party prevails on appeal.
- [(3)] (7) [No] A decision or action of a planning commission or [city governing body shall be] the governing body of a city is not invalid due to ex parte contact or bias resulting from ex parte contact with a member of the decision-making body, if the member of the decision-making body receiving the contact:
- (a) Places on the record the substance of any written or oral ex parte communications concerning the decision or action; and
- (b) Has a public announcement of the content of the communication and of the parties' right to rebut the substance of the communication made at the first hearing following the communication [where] at which action will be considered or taken on the subject to which the communication related.
- [(4)] (8) A communication between city staff and the planning commission or governing body [shall not be considered] is not an exparte contact for the purposes of subsection [(3)] (7) of this section.
- [(5)] (9) Subsection [(3)] (7) of this section does not apply to exparte contact with a hearings officer.
- (10) A party aggrieved by the final decision of a city may have the final decision reviewed by the Land Use Board of Appeals in the manner provided in ORS 197.830 to 197.845.
  - (11) A city may not charge a fee for appeal of a final decision of the city to the board.
- (12) A fee prescribed under this section may not exceed the actual cost to the city for providing the service.

**SECTION 3.** ORS 197.835 is amended to read:

- 197.835. (1) The Land Use Board of Appeals shall review the land use decision or limited land use decision and prepare a final order affirming, reversing or remanding the land use decision or limited land use decision. The board shall adopt rules defining the circumstances in which it will reverse rather than remand a land use decision or limited land use decision that is not affirmed.
  - (2)(a) Review of a decision under ORS 197.830 to 197.845 shall be confined to the record.
  - (b) In the case of disputed allegations of standing, unconstitutionality of the decision, ex parte

contacts, actions described in subsection (10)(a)(B) of this section or other procedural irregularities not shown in the record that, if proved, would warrant reversal or remand, the board may take evidence and make findings of fact on those allegations. The board shall be bound by any finding of fact of the local government, special district or state agency for which there is substantial evidence in the whole record.

- (3) Issues shall be limited to those raised by any participant before the local hearings body as provided by ORS 197.195 or 197.763, whichever is applicable.
  - (4) A petitioner may raise new issues to the board if:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

- (a) The local government failed to list the applicable criteria for a decision under ORS 197.195 (3)(c) or 197.763 (3)(b), in which case a petitioner may raise new issues based upon applicable criteria that were omitted from the notice. However, the board may refuse to allow new issues to be raised if it finds that the issue could have been raised before the local government; or
- (b) The local government made a land use decision or limited land use decision which is different from the proposal described in the notice to such a degree that the notice of the proposed action did not reasonably describe the local government's final action.
- (5) The board shall reverse or remand a land use decision not subject to an acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations if the decision does not comply with the goals. The board shall reverse or remand a land use decision or limited land use decision subject to an acknowledged comprehensive plan or land use regulation if the decision does not comply with the goals and the Land Conservation and Development Commission has issued an order under ORS 197.320 or adopted a new or amended goal under ORS 197.245 requiring the local government to apply the goals to the type of decision being challenged.
- (6) The board shall reverse or remand an amendment to a comprehensive plan if the amendment is not in compliance with the goals.
- (7) The board shall reverse or remand an amendment to a land use regulation or the adoption of a new land use regulation if:
  - (a) The regulation is not in compliance with the comprehensive plan; or
- (b) The comprehensive plan does not contain specific policies or other provisions which provide the basis for the regulation, and the regulation is not in compliance with the statewide planning goals.
- (8) The board shall reverse or remand a decision involving the application of a plan or land use regulation provision if the decision is not in compliance with applicable provisions of the comprehensive plan or land use regulations.
- (9) In addition to the review under subsections (1) to (8) of this section, the board shall reverse or remand the land use decision under review if the board finds:
  - (a) The local government or special district:
  - (A) Exceeded its jurisdiction;
- (B) Failed to follow the procedures applicable to the matter before it in a manner that prejudiced the substantial rights of the petitioner;
  - (C) Made a decision not supported by substantial evidence in the whole record;
  - (D) Improperly construed the applicable law; or
    - (E) Made an unconstitutional decision; or
    - (b) The state agency made a decision that violated the goals.
- (10)(a) The board shall reverse a local government decision and order the local government to grant approval of an application for development denied by the local government if the board finds:

- (A) Based on the evidence in the record, that the local government decision is outside the range of discretion allowed the local government under its comprehensive plan and implementing ordinances; or
- (B) That the local government's action was for the purpose of avoiding the requirements of ORS 215.427 or 227.178.
- (b) If the board does reverse the decision and orders the local government to grant approval of the application, the board shall award attorney fees to the applicant and against the local government.
- (11)(a) Whenever the findings, order and record are sufficient to allow review, and to the extent possible consistent with the time requirements of ORS 197.830 (14), the board shall decide all issues presented to it when reversing or remanding a land use decision described in subsections (2) to (9) of this section or limited land use decision described in ORS 197.828 and 197.195.
- (b) Whenever the findings are defective because of failure to recite adequate facts or legal conclusions or failure to adequately identify the standards or their relation to the facts, but the parties identify relevant evidence in the record which clearly supports the decision or a part of the decision, the board shall affirm the decision or the part of the decision supported by the record and remand the remainder to the local government, with direction indicating appropriate remedial action.
- (12) The board may reverse or remand a land use decision under review due to ex parte contacts or bias resulting from ex parte contacts with a member of the decision-making body, only if the member of the decision-making body did not comply with ORS 215.422 [(3)] (7) or 227.180 [(3)] (7), whichever is applicable.
- (13) Subsection (12) of this section does not apply to reverse or remand of a land use decision due to ex parte contact or bias resulting from ex parte contact with a hearings officer.
- (14) The board shall reverse or remand a land use decision or limited land use decision which violates a commission order issued under ORS 197.328.
- (15) In cases in which a local government provides a quasi-judicial land use hearing on a limited land use decision, the requirements of subsections (12) and (13) of this section apply.
- (16) The board may decide cases before it by means of memorandum decisions and shall prepare full opinions only in such cases as it deems proper.
- SECTION 4. The amendments to ORS 197.835, 215.422 and 227.180 by sections 1 to 3 of this 2015 Act apply to quasi-judicial review by a city or county of the decisions made by a hearings officer or other decision-making authority at the city or county level on and after the effective date of this 2015 Act.

# House Bill 3379

Sponsored by Representative HOYLE

#### **SUMMARY**

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure as introduced.

Limits amount of appeal fee that city or county may charge for quasi-judicial review of city or county decisions on land use application. Requires city or county to refund appeal fee and transcript fee when appellate authority of city or county declines to hear review.

Prohibits city or county from charging fee for appeal of final decision of city or county to Land

Use Board of Appeals.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

#### A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to fees for appeal of local land use decisions; creating new provisions; and amending ORS 197.835, 215.422 and 227.180.

### Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

SECTION 1. ORS 215.422 is amended to read:

215.422. [(1)(a) A party aggrieved by the action of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority may appeal the action to the planning commission or county governing body, or both, however the governing body prescribes. The appellate authority on its own motion may review the action. The procedure and type of hearing for such an appeal or review shall be prescribed by the governing body, but shall not require the notice of appeal to be filed within less than seven days after the date the governing body mails or delivers the decision to the parties.]

- [(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this subsection, the governing body may provide that the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority is the final determination of the county.]
- [(c) The governing body may prescribe, by ordinance or regulation, fees to defray the costs incurred in acting upon an appeal from a hearings officer, planning commission or other designated person. The amount of the fee shall be reasonable and shall be no more than the average cost of such appeals or the actual cost of the appeal, excluding the cost of preparation of a written transcript. The governing body may establish a fee for the preparation of a written transcript. The fee shall be reasonable and shall not exceed the actual cost of preparing the transcript up to \$500. In lieu of a transcript prepared by the governing body and the fee therefor, the governing body shall allow any party to an appeal proceeding held on the record to prepare a transcript of relevant portions of the proceedings conducted at a lower level at the party's own expense. If an appellant prevails at a hearing or on appeal, the transcript fee shall be refunded.]
- [(2) A party aggrieved by the final determination may have the determination reviewed in the manner provided in ORS 197.830 to 197.845.]
  - (1) The governing body of a county, by ordinance or resolution, may:
- (a) Provide that the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority of the county is the final determination of the county; or
  - (b) Choose to act, or designate another entity to act, as an appellate authority to review

NOTE: Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter [italic and bracketed] is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

- (2) If the governing body of the county establishes an appellate authority pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section, the governing body of the county, by ordinance or resolution, shall prescribe the procedure and type of hearing to provide for review of a decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, but the governing body may not require that a notice of appeal be filed less than seven days after the date the county mails or delivers the decision to the parties.
- (3) If the governing body of the county establishes an appellate authority pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section:
- (a) A party aggrieved by the action of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority may appeal the decision to the appellate authority; or
  - (b) The appellate authority may review the decision on its own motion.
- (4) To defray the costs incurred in acting upon an appeal from a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, the governing body of the county, by ordinance or regulation, may prescribe:
- (a) A reasonable fee that does not exceed 10 percent of the original application fee or \$1,000, whichever is less, and that excludes the cost to prepare a written transcript of the proceedings to be reviewed.
- (b) A reasonable fee for the preparation of a written transcript of the proceedings to be reviewed that does not exceed the actual cost of preparing the transcript or \$500, whichever is less.
- (5) If an appellate authority of a county declines to review the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, the county shall refund the full amount of appeal and transcript fees collected by the county.
- (6) In lieu of having the county prepare a transcript, a party to an appeal proceeding held on the record may elect to prepare a transcript of relevant portions of the proceedings conducted at a lower level at the party's own expense. The county still may charge the transcript fee, but the county shall refund the transcript fee if the party prevails on appeal.
- [(3)] (7) [No] A decision or action of a planning commission or [county governing body shall be] the governing body of the county is not invalid due to ex parte contact or bias resulting from ex parte contact with a member of the decision-making body, if the member of the decision-making body receiving the contact:
- (a) Places on the record the substance of any written or oral ex parte communications concerning the decision or action; and
- (b) Has a public announcement of the content of the communication and of the parties' right to rebut the substance of the communication made at the first hearing following the communication [where] at which action will be considered or taken on the subject to which the communication related.
- [(4)] (8) A communication between county staff and the planning commission or governing body [shall not be considered] is not an ex parte contact for the purposes of subsection [(3)] (7) of this section.
- [(5)] (9) Subsection [(3)] (7) of this section does not apply to ex parte contact with a hearings officer approved under ORS 215.406 (1).
- (10) A party aggrieved by the final decision of a county may have the final decision reviewed by the Land Use Board of Appeals in the manner provided in ORS 197.830 to 197.845.

(11) A county may not charge a fee for appeal of a final decision of the county to the board.

## SECTION 2. ORS 227.180 is amended to read:

- 227.180. [(1)(a) A party aggrieved by the action of a hearings officer may appeal the action to the planning commission or council of the city, or both, however the council prescribes. The appellate authority on its own motion may review the action. The procedure for such an appeal or review shall be prescribed by the council, but shall:]
- [(A) Not require that the appeal be filed within less than seven days after the date the governing body mails or delivers the decision of the hearings officer to the parties;]
  - [(B) Require a hearing at least for argument; and]
- [(C) Require that upon appeal or review the appellate authority consider the record of the hearings officer's action. That record need not set forth evidence verbatim.]
- [(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this subsection, the council may provide that the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority in a proceeding for a discretionary permit or zone change is the final determination of the city.]
- [(c) The governing body may prescribe, by ordinance or regulation, fees to defray the costs incurred in acting upon an appeal from a hearings officer, planning commission or other designated person. The amount of the fee shall be reasonable and shall be no more than the average cost of such appeals or the actual cost of the appeal, excluding the cost of preparation of a written transcript. The governing body may establish a fee for the preparation of a written transcript. The fee shall be reasonable and shall not exceed the actual cost of preparing the transcript up to \$500. In lieu of a transcript prepared by the governing body and the fee therefor, the governing body shall allow any party to an appeal proceeding held on the record to prepare a transcript of relevant portions of the proceedings conducted at a lower level at the party's own expense. If an appellant prevails at a hearing or on appeal, the transcript fee shall be refunded.]
- [(2) A party aggrieved by the final determination in a proceeding for a discretionary permit or zone change may have the determination reviewed under ORS 197.830 to 197.845.]
  - (1) The governing body of a city, by ordinance or resolution, may:
- (a) Provide that the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority of the city is the final determination of the city; or
- (b) Choose to act, or designate another entity to act, as an appellate authority to review the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority.
- (2) If the governing body of the city establishes an appellate authority pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section, the governing body of the city, by ordinance or resolution, shall prescribe the procedure and type of hearing to provide for review of a decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, but the governing body may not require that a notice of appeal be filed less than seven days after the date the city mails or delivers the decision to the parties.
- (3) If the governing body of the city establishes an appellate authority pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section:
- (a) A party aggrieved by the action of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority may appeal the decision to the appellate authority; or
  - (b) The appellate authority may review the decision on its own motion.
- (4) To defray the costs incurred in acting upon an appeal from a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, the governing body of the city, by ordinance or regulation, may

prescribe:

- (a) A reasonable fee that does not exceed 10 percent of the original application fee or \$1,000, whichever is less, and that excludes the cost to prepare a written transcript of the proceedings to be reviewed.
- (b) A reasonable fee for the preparation of a written transcript of the proceedings to be reviewed that does not exceed the actual cost of preparing the transcript or \$500, whichever is less.
- (5) If an appellate authority of a city declines to review the decision of a hearings officer or other decision-making authority, the city shall refund the full amount of appeal and transcript fees collected by the city.
- (6) In lieu of having the city prepare a transcript, a party to an appeal proceeding held on the record may elect to prepare a transcript of relevant portions of the proceedings conducted at a lower level at the party's own expense. The city still may charge the transcript fee, but the city shall refund the transcript fee if the party prevails on appeal.
- [(3)] (7) [No] A decision or action of a planning commission or [city governing body shall be] the governing body of the city is not invalid due to exparte contact or bias resulting from exparte contact with a member of the decision-making body, if the member of the decision-making body receiving the contact:
- (a) Places on the record the substance of any written or oral ex parte communications concerning the decision or action; and
- (b) Has a public announcement of the content of the communication and of the parties' right to rebut the substance of the communication made at the first hearing following the communication [where] at which action will be considered or taken on the subject to which the communication related.
- [(4)] (8) A communication between city staff and the planning commission or governing body [shall not be considered] is not an exparte contact for the purposes of subsection [(3)] (7) of this section.
- [(5)] (9) Subsection [(3)] (7) of this section does not apply to ex parte contact with a hearings officer.
- (10) A party aggrieved by the final decision of a city may have the final decision reviewed by the Land Use Board of Appeals in the manner provided in ORS 197.830 to 197.845.
  - (11) A city may not charge a fee for appeal of a final decision of the city to the board. **SECTION 3.** ORS 197.835 is amended to read:
- 197.835. (1) The Land Use Board of Appeals shall review the land use decision or limited land use decision and prepare a final order affirming, reversing or remanding the land use decision or limited land use decision. The board shall adopt rules defining the circumstances in which it will reverse rather than remand a land use decision or limited land use decision that is not affirmed.
  - (2)(a) Review of a decision under ORS 197.830 to 197.845 shall be confined to the record.
- (b) In the case of disputed allegations of standing, unconstitutionality of the decision, ex parte contacts, actions described in subsection (10)(a)(B) of this section or other procedural irregularities not shown in the record that, if proved, would warrant reversal or remand, the board may take evidence and make findings of fact on those allegations. The board shall be bound by any finding of fact of the local government, special district or state agency for which there is substantial evidence in the whole record.
  - (3) Issues shall be limited to those raised by any participant before the local hearings body as

provided by ORS 197.195 or 197.763, whichever is applicable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

- (4) A petitioner may raise new issues to the board if:
- (a) The local government failed to list the applicable criteria for a decision under ORS 197.195 (3)(c) or 197.763 (3)(b), in which case a petitioner may raise new issues based upon applicable criteria that were omitted from the notice. However, the board may refuse to allow new issues to be raised if it finds that the issue could have been raised before the local government; or
- (b) The local government made a land use decision or limited land use decision which is different from the proposal described in the notice to such a degree that the notice of the proposed action did not reasonably describe the local government's final action.
- (5) The board shall reverse or remand a land use decision not subject to an acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations if the decision does not comply with the goals. The board shall reverse or remand a land use decision or limited land use decision subject to an acknowledged comprehensive plan or land use regulation if the decision does not comply with the goals and the Land Conservation and Development Commission has issued an order under ORS 197.320 or adopted a new or amended goal under ORS 197.245 requiring the local government to apply the goals to the type of decision being challenged.
- (6) The board shall reverse or remand an amendment to a comprehensive plan if the amendment is not in compliance with the goals.
- (7) The board shall reverse or remand an amendment to a land use regulation or the adoption of a new land use regulation if:
  - (a) The regulation is not in compliance with the comprehensive plan; or
- (b) The comprehensive plan does not contain specific policies or other provisions which provide the basis for the regulation, and the regulation is not in compliance with the statewide planning goals.
- (8) The board shall reverse or remand a decision involving the application of a plan or land use regulation provision if the decision is not in compliance with applicable provisions of the comprehensive plan or land use regulations.
- (9) In addition to the review under subsections (1) to (8) of this section, the board shall reverse or remand the land use decision under review if the board finds:
  - (a) The local government or special district:
  - (A) Exceeded its jurisdiction;
- (B) Failed to follow the procedures applicable to the matter before it in a manner that prejudiced the substantial rights of the petitioner;
  - (C) Made a decision not supported by substantial evidence in the whole record;
  - (D) Improperly construed the applicable law; or
  - (E) Made an unconstitutional decision; or
  - (b) The state agency made a decision that violated the goals.
- (10)(a) The board shall reverse a local government decision and order the local government to grant approval of an application for development denied by the local government if the board finds:
- (A) Based on the evidence in the record, that the local government decision is outside the range of discretion allowed the local government under its comprehensive plan and implementing ordinances; or
- (B) That the local government's action was for the purpose of avoiding the requirements of ORS 215.427 or 227.178.
  - (b) If the board does reverse the decision and orders the local government to grant approval of

the application, the board shall award attorney fees to the applicant and against the local government.

- (11)(a) Whenever the findings, order and record are sufficient to allow review, and to the extent possible consistent with the time requirements of ORS 197.830 (14), the board shall decide all issues presented to it when reversing or remanding a land use decision described in subsections (2) to (9) of this section or limited land use decision described in ORS 197.828 and 197.195.
- (b) Whenever the findings are defective because of failure to recite adequate facts or legal conclusions or failure to adequately identify the standards or their relation to the facts, but the parties identify relevant evidence in the record which clearly supports the decision or a part of the decision, the board shall affirm the decision or the part of the decision supported by the record and remand the remainder to the local government, with direction indicating appropriate remedial action.
- (12) The board may reverse or remand a land use decision under review due to ex parte contacts or bias resulting from ex parte contacts with a member of the decision-making body, only if the member of the decision-making body did not comply with ORS 215.422 [(3)] (7) or 227.180 [(3)] (7), whichever is applicable.
- (13) Subsection (12) of this section does not apply to reverse or remand of a land use decision due to exparte contact or bias resulting from exparte contact with a hearings officer.
- (14) The board shall reverse or remand a land use decision or limited land use decision which violates a commission order issued under ORS 197.328.
- (15) In cases in which a local government provides a quasi-judicial land use hearing on a limited land use decision, the requirements of subsections (12) and (13) of this section apply.
- (16) The board may decide cases before it by means of memorandum decisions and shall prepare full opinions only in such cases as it deems proper.

SECTION 4. The amendments to ORS 197.835, 215.422 and 227.180 by sections 1 to 3 of this 2015 Act apply to quasi-judicial review by a city or county of the decisions made by a hearings officer or other decision-making authority at the city or county level on or after the effective date of this 2015 Act.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 



# The Association of Oregon Counties Opposes SB 359

To: Senate Judiciary Committee

From: Mark Nystrom, Policy Manager, Association of Oregon Counties

Date: February 25, 2015

The Association of Oregon Counties opposes SB 359 and the limits put on land use appeal fees. The state-wide land use system is set up to be implemented locally, and with 36 counties, there is great variation around the state. However, one issue is consistent from county to county – they go through great lengths to ensure that Goal 1 is met and that there is robust citizen involvement. Ensuring active citizen involvement can be expensive and every county has different demands on its general fund dollars.

Land use appeals are handled differently in every county. Some counties use volunteer citizen boards while others choose to use independent land use appeals officers. These officers provide an unbiased opinion from an expert in the field, but can cost the county greatly. Each county has made the decision for itself in how they handle the appeals in accordance with Oregon state land use laws and this bill would limit a county's ability to do so.

Some counties have the ability to pay for the majority of the cost of appeals out of their general fund while others need to have a fee-based system to cover the costs. SB 359 would eliminate choices for counties that have chosen to use fees to cover the expenses of the appeal. The \$1,000 limit would barely cover the cost of a land use attorney for a day's work. With complicated appeals, the county's expenses can run over \$5,000. SB 359 would force counties to either give up their current process, use general fund dollars to cover the extra costs, raise fees for other services, or pass the appeals on to LUBA and lose local control. None of these options is acceptable to Oregon Counties.

- Forcing counties to give up their current process flies in the face of local decision making and local control. The state chose to give the counties this option and SB 359 would take that away.
- Shifting funds from general fund only serves to short other programs at a time when many counties are in fiscal distress and only have discretion over a small fraction of their spending. When there is talk about closing jails, underfunded mental and public health programs and losing sheriff's deputies, this adds to the ongoing burden of county budgets.
- Land use appeal expenses need to be covered somehow. If not from appeal fees or general funds, then the county will need to turn to raising other fees to cover these costs. This decision is not equitable nor is it politically feasible for county commissioners.

 Passing the appeal to LUBA again denies the county the right for local control. In addition, the fees that LUBA charges for an appeal does not cover the state's cost; therefore SB 359 will shift more costs to the state. Counties will not choose this option because they lose control over local decision making, but will still bear costs in defending the earlier land use decision. This is not an acceptable solution.

The Association of Oregon Counties recognizes the goals of SB 359. Counties believe that all citizens should have access to the land use system and financial constraint should not be a limiting factor. However, SB 359 does not provide a workable solution to this problem, it simply shifts the cost to local governments that are already struggling financially. Looking at other options such as state-funded low income assistance for those filling appeals would be much more equitable for all. These and other options should be put on the table and AOC will commit to working with SB 359 advocates to find a workable solution.

Please contact AOC Policy Manager Mark Nystrom with any questions.





Feb. 24, 2015

Honorable Floyd Prozanski, Chair Senate Committee on Judiciary 900 Court St. NE Salem, Or 97301

RE: Senate Bill 359 – Fees; Local Land Use Appeals

Dear Chair Prozanski and Members of the Judiciary Committee:

I am writing to express the city of Beaverton's opposition to Senate Bill 359, as introduced. Senate Bill 359 would limit the appeal fee a city or county may charge for an appeal of a land use decision made by a local hearings officer or planning commission. These are fees paid for local appeals, not appeals to LUBA.

As proposed, Senate Bill 359 would limit a local appeal fee to "a reasonable fee not to exceed 10 percent of the original application fee or \$1,000, whichever is less." The proposed cap adversely affects Beaverton because the land use application fees the cap applies to are already low.

The city sets its land use application fees annually based on a good faith, reasonable estimate of the average cost for processing various land use applications. Beaverton's application fees for most Type 3 matters—the city's most common land use proceeding—are in the range of \$2,000 to \$3,000. Application fees for Type 4 matters are either \$4,770 for a development code text amendment or \$5,243 for a comprehensive plan amendment. All of these fees are set with notice to the public who can comment on the fee amounts.

Similarly, Beaverton sets its appeal fees annually based on a good faith, reasonable estimate of average cost of preparing for and conducting an appeal. These fees, too, are set with notice to the public who can comment on the fee amounts. Using this methodology, the fee for either a Type 3 or Type 4 land use appeal in Beaverton is currently \$1,439.

As written, Senate Bill 359 would limit land use appeal fees in Beaverton to the lesser of \$1000 or 10 percent of the original land use application fee. This means the city would be limited to a \$200 to \$300 appeal fee for most Type 3 matters and less than a \$500 appeal fee for any Type 4 matter. These appeal fees are unreasonably low.

Without question, appeal fees should not to be set so high as to discourage legitimate appeals. But Senate Bill 359 would set such unreasonably low appeal fees for jurisdictions like Beaverton that it will likely encourage a "roll-the-dice" mentality when it comes to appeals of local land use decisions. Appeal fees should not be set so low as to encourage spurious appeals.

I urge you to oppose Senate Bill 359, as introduced. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Bill Kirby

City Attorney



## RE: Testimony from the Association of Oregon County Planning Directors on SB 359

To: Laura Handzel, Judiciary Committee Administrator

The Association of Oregon County Planning Directors would like to offer this testimony in opposition of SB 359 which limits the amount of appeal fee counties may charge for land use applications.

There are significant differences in costs of processing land use applications and appeals between counties throughout the state. The mechanism to cover those costs varies from full cost recovery in some counties while other counties subsidize costs through the use of general fund dollars. The costs of processing land use appeals also varies across the state because some appeals are heard by volunteer planning commissions while other counties rely on contract hearings officers. In either case, the proposed cap on appeal fees of \$1000.00 in most cases through the state will not cover the actual cost of processing land use appeals, and in many cases does not cover half or a third of the actual cost of service. The current law which allows counties to determine fees should remain a local decision and is necessary to provide flexibility and sound fiscal planning to support planning programs throughout the state.

The Association of Oregon County Planning Directors opposes SB 359. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this legislation.

Sincerely,

Mike McCallister

President Association of Oregon County Planning Directors

Clackamas County Planning Director

cc: Mark Nystrom, AOC

Muke McCalliste



# MELISSA CRIBBINS, COMMISSIONER

250 N. Baxter Street, Coquille, Oregon 97423 (541) 396-7539 FAX (541) 396-1010 / TDD (800) 735-2900

E-Mail: mcribbins@co.coos.or.us

February 24, 2015

Senate Bill 359 limits the amount of the appeal fee that a city or county may charge for quasijudicial review of city or county decisions on land use applications. The bill further requires the city or county refund appeals fees and transcript fees when appellate authority of city or county declines to review decision.

This bill also prohibits cities or counties from charging fees for appeal of final decisions of the city or county to the Land Use Board of Appeals.

Different counties charge different fees due to various types of funding necessities. Often times, there is no funding to subsidize the planning program, which is a statutory mandated service. Capping fees has the possibility of causing a failed planning program. This statement sounds dramatic when considering only a cap on appeal fees but, for reasons explained in this letter, is a valid consideration.

This bill will result in financial hardship for the Coos County Planning Department and other County Planning Departments that are reliant on fees as their major funding source. Coos County is a county that charges actual cost of services (ACS). The fee cap for an appeal of a planning director's decision causes a loss of revenue to the Planning Department of about \$1987 for every appeal filed at the first level (due to the existing \$250 cap). The new cap would now impose a limit on the appeal of a Planning Commission's decision, which would cost the Planning Department an additional \$1200 dollars, on average, for each appeal to the Board of Commissioners. Any portion of the fee that is not expensed is returned to an applicant or appellant.

One consequence of this bill on Coos County is an increase in all other fees to subsidize the decreased appeal fee. Due to the fact that the general fund is dwindling, the Coos County Board of Commissioners had to make the difficult decision to remove Coos County Planning from the general fund. As a result, fees, grant funds and economic development dollars are used to support the planning program and the reduction of this income has the potential to negatively affect the quality of service offered by the Planning Department.

If fees are not raised to offset the cap, the solution would be to subsidize appeal fees by reducing other planning services or increasing the fees for other services. This would reduce long range planning and risk closure to the public due to reduction in staff. An associated risk of raising fees is making applications for permits cost prohibitive, resulting in either more enforcement issues or lack of development. Either of these outcomes will cause financial harm to the citizens of Coos County.

Coos County is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer and complies with section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of

Public input is very valuable and a necessity in the planning process but, as it currently stands, the State has already limited appeal fees to \$250 for administrative (staff) decisions. The direct effect of this appeal fee cap in Coos County is that any controversial or complex applications are directly sent to public hearing. The Board of Commissioners has invested time in selecting Planning Commission members and money to train them. Therefore, the fee acts as a control to eliminate frivolous appeals.

This seems like an equality issue as well, because this gives an appellant an unfair advantage. Some appeals that are received have no land use merit and it has been the experience in Coos County that a higher fee prevents those types of appeals. This is a local jurisdiction decision and the authority of the County should not be removed. Coos County does offer fee waiver for financial hardships or pubic benefits at the discretion of the Board of County Commissioners, which allows for special cases to be considered.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the Committee with information on this matter from Coos County's view. Coos County urges the Commission to oppose this bill and allow counties to maintain local discretion to fund planning services.

Melissa Cribbins

M GUL

Commissioner

Coos County

# PUBLIC RECORD

# Oregon State Legislature

# **WITNESS REGISTRATION**

Committee Name:_	Senate	Judiciary	
Public Hearing on:_	SB 3	59	Date: 02/25/2015

Please register if you wish to testify on the above named measure/issue. Please print legibly.

Name and Organization <u>or</u> County of Residence	Phone #	Do you live more than 100 miles from this meeting location?		Position			Are you submitting written testimony?	
PLEASE PRINT LEGIBLY		Yes	No	For	Against	Neutral	Yes	No
Nick Lelack				***************************************			<u></u>	
Peggy Lynch Vor				V			/	
Erin Payle League & Oregon Cities								
Jonathan Manton Lentral Oregon Londworkh				V				
CITY OF BELLO							L	
Steve heloy los French of Oragon			# ************************************					i-
De Character				112122				
Strohen Kafonry OAPA			1					L-
Shaun Tillions OAR			×		×			×

# **Deschutes County**

eschutes County						
<u>HB 2833</u>	Position	Priority	Date Input			
Bill Info	Support	1	2/4/15			
Summary:		types of green energy technology for which contracting percent of contract price to include in public building.				
Status:						
3/12/15	H - Public H	learing held.				
2/6/15	H - Referred to Energy and Environment.					
2/2/15	H - First read	ding. Referre	d to Speaker's desk.			
<u>HB 3208</u>	Position	Priority	Date Input			
Bill Info	Oppose	1	3/2/15			
Summary:	quot;land use decision" to exclude decisions pertaining n, maintenance or improvement of water delivery ade by districts for irrigation, drainage, water improvement or					
Status:						
3/5/15	H - Referred	to Rural Cor	mmunities, Land Use, and Water.			
2/27/15	H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.					
<u>HB 3379</u>	Position	Priority	Date Input			
Bill Info	Oppose	1	3/2/15			
~	T	1				
Summary:			fee that city or county may charge for quasi-judicial review of on land use application.			
Summary: Status:						
·	city or coun	ty decisions (				
Status:	city or coun H - Referred	ty decisions of to Rural Cor	on land use application.			
<b>Status:</b> 3/6/15	city or coun H - Referred	ty decisions of to Rural Cor	on land use application. mmunities, Land Use, and Water.			
<b>Status:</b> 3/6/15 2/27/15	city or coun  H - Referred  H - First rea	ty decisions of to Rural Cor ding. Referre	on land use application.  mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  d to Speaker's desk.			
Status: 3/6/15 2/27/15 SB 0133A	H - Referred H - First reach  Position Support Allows Dep	ty decisions of to Rural Cording. Referre  Priority  1 artment of C	on land use application.  mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input			
Status: 3/6/15 2/27/15  SB 0133A Bill Info	H - Referred H - First reach  Position Support Allows Dep	ty decisions of to Rural Cording. Referre  Priority  1 artment of C	on land use application.  mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input 2/24/15  onsumer and Business Services to make system for electronic			
Status:     3/6/15     2/27/15  SB 0133A     Bill Info Summary:	H - Referred H - First reac  Position Support Allows Depacess to bu	ty decisions of to Rural Cording. Referre  Priority  1 artment of C	on land use application.  mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input  2/24/15  onsumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.			
Status: 3/6/15 2/27/15  SB 0133A Bill Info Summary: Status:	H - Referred H - First reach Position Support Allows Depaccess to bu H - Referred	ty decisions of to Rural Cording. Referre Priority 1 artment of Cilding code in to Business	on land use application.  mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input  2/24/15  onsumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.			
Status:	H - Referred H - First read  Position Support Allows Depacess to bu  H - Referred H - First read	to Rural Cording. Referre  Priority 1 artment of Cilding code into Business ding. Referre	on land use application.  mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input  2/24/15  onsumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.  and Labor.			
Status:  3/6/15 2/27/15  SB 0133A Bill Info Summary:  Status: 3/5/15 2/27/15	H - Referred H - First read  Position Support Allows Depaccess to bu  H - Referred H - First read S - Third read	ty decisions of to Rural Cording. Referred Priority 1 artment of Cording code in to Business ding. Referred ding. Carried	on land use application.  mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input 2/24/15  onsumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.  and Labor.  d to Speaker's desk.			
Status:  3/6/15 2/27/15  SB 0133A Bill Info Summary:  Status: 3/5/15 2/27/15 2/26/15	H - Referred H - First read  Position Support Allows Depaccess to bu  H - Referred H - First read S - Third read	to Rural Cording. Referre  Priority 1 artment of Cilding code into Business ding. Referreding. Carriectared potent	mmunities, Land Use, and Water. d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input 2/24/15 onsumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.  and Labor. d to Speaker's desk. d by Riley. Passed. Ayes, 29; excused, 1Winters.			
Status:  3/6/15 2/27/15  SB 0133A Bill Info Summary:  Status: 3/5/15 2/27/15 2/26/15 2/26/15	H - Referred H - First read  Position Support Allows Depaccess to bu  H - Referred H - First read S - Third read S - Olsen dec S - Second read	ty decisions of to Rural Cording. Referred Priority  1 artment of Cording code in the Business of the Business	mmunities, Land Use, and Water. d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input 2/24/15 onsumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.  and Labor. d to Speaker's desk. d by Riley. Passed. Ayes, 29; excused, 1Winters.			
Status:  3/6/15 2/27/15  SB 0133A  Bill Info Summary:  Status:  3/5/15 2/27/15 2/26/15 2/26/15 2/24/15	H - Referred H - First read  Position Support Allows Depacess to but H - Referred H - First read S - Third read S - Olsen dec S - Second re S - Recomme	to Rural Cording. Referred Priority 1 artment of Cording Code in to Business adding. Referred potent clared potent cading. Carried clared potent cading. Carried clared potent cading.	mmunities, Land Use, and Water. d to Speaker's desk.  Date Input 2/24/15 onsumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.  and Labor. d to Speaker's desk. d by Riley. Passed. Ayes, 29; excused, 1Winters. dial conflict of interest.			
Status:  3/6/15 2/27/15  SB 0133A Bill Info Summary:  Status: 3/5/15 2/27/15 2/26/15 2/26/15 2/24/15 2/23/15	H - Referred H - First read  Position Support Allows Depacess to but H - Referred H - First read S - Third read S - Olsen dec S - Second re S - Recomme	to Rural Cording. Referred Priority  1 artment of Cilding code in to Business ding. Referred ding. Carried clared potent eading. Endation: Doearing and Western an	mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  Index to Speaker's desk.  Date Input 2/24/15  consumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.  and Labor.  Index to Speaker's desk.  Index			
Status:  3/6/15 2/27/15  SB 0133A  Bill Info Summary:  Status: 3/5/15 2/27/15 2/26/15 2/26/15 2/24/15 2/23/15 2/18/15	H - Referred H - First read  Position Support Allows Depacess to bu  H - Referred H - First read S - Third read S - Olsen dec S - Second re S - Recomme S - Public He S - Public He	to Rural Cording. Referred Priority 1 artment of Cilding code in to Business adding. Referred ding. Carried potentical po	mmunities, Land Use, and Water.  Index to Speaker's desk.  Date Input 2/24/15  consumer and Business Services to make system for electronic information available for other purposes.  and Labor.  Index to Speaker's desk.  Index			

SB 0359	Position	Priority	Date Input			
Bill Info	Oppose	1	1/16/15			
Summary:		Limits amount of appeal fee that city or county may charge for quasi-judicial review of city or county decisions on land use application.				
Status:						
2/25/15	S - Public He					
1/20/15	S - Referred	to Judiciary.				
1/12/15	S - Introduct	ion and first i	reading. Referred to President's desk.			
SB 0516	Position	Priority	Date Input			
Bill Info	Support	1	2/4/15			
Summary:	Requires Housing and Community Services Department to dedicate and use portion of moneys deposited in certain housing accounts from County Assessment and Taxation Fund for benefit of county from which fees deposited into fund were charged and collected.					
Status:						
3/12/15	S - Public He	earing held.				
3/5/15	S - Public Hearing and Work Session held.					
2/3/15	S - Referred to Finance and Revenue.					
2/2/15	S - Introduct	ion and first	reading. Referred to President's desk.			
SB 0648	Position	Priority	Date Input			
Bill Info	Support	1	3/16/15			
Summary:	Expands duties of Central Oregon Health Council.					
Status:						
4/6/15	S - Public Hearing and Possible Work Session scheduled.					
2/19/15	S - Referred to Health Care.					
2/17/15	S - Introduct	S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.				
HB 2015A	Position	Priority	Date Input			
Bill Info	Support	2	3/6/15			
Summary:						

Public Affairs Couns	sel		
2015 Regular Session	ı		
Bill Summary Repor			
3/20/15			p pass with amendments, be printed A-Engrossed, and be
0/00/15			ans by prior reference.
3/20/15		a to ways and ession held.	d Means by prior reference.
3/18/15			
2/9/15		Hearing held.	Coming and Housing with subsequent referral to Ways and
1/16/15	H - Keferre	a to Human S	Services and Housing with subsequent referral to Ways and
1/12/15		ading. Referre	ed to Speaker's desk.
, ,		Ü	•
HB 2041	Position	Priority	Date Input
Bill Info	Support	2	1/16/15
Summary:	Provides the producers, school.	nat local goven processors ar	rnments may prohibit medical marijuana facilities and nd sellers of marijuana from being located within one mile of
Status:			
3/4/15	H - Public I	Hearing held.	
1/16/15	H - Referre	d to Impleme	nting Measure 91.
1/12/15	H - First rea	ading. Referre	ed to Speaker's desk.
<u>HB 2132</u>	Position	Priority	Date Input
Bill Info	Support	2	1/16/15
Summary:		,	cts forest protection district assessment or surcharge to retain moneys for purpose of paying county administrative costs.
Status:			
3/10/15	H - Public l	Hearing held.	
1/16/15	H - Referre	d to Consume	er Protection and Government Effectiveness.
1/12/15	H - First rea	ading. Referre	ed to Speaker's desk.
<u>HB 2133</u>	Position	Priority	Date Input
Bill Info	Support	2	1/16/15
<u> </u>	n .		24 70 margant of not revenue from next, or increased local

HB 2133	Position	Priority	Date Input		
Bill Info	Support	2	1/16/15		
•			t 70 percent of net revenue from new or increased local sed to fund tourism promotion or tourism-related facilities.		
Status:					
1/16/15	H - Referred to Transportation and Economic Development with subsequent refeto Revenue.				
1/12/15	H - First read	ling. Referred	to Speaker's desk.		

<u>HB 2142</u>	Position	Priority	Date Input
Bill Info	Neutral	2	1/16/15
Summary:	Specifies ballot title for		Joint Resolution (2015) (LC 335).
Status:			
1/16/15	H - Referred	d to Rules.	
1/12/15	H - First rea	ding. Referre	d to Speaker's desk.

HB 2160 Position Priority Date Input

Bill Info Support 2 1/16/15

Summary: Removes prohibition against imposition of taxes by county on cigarettes and tobacco

products.

Status:

1/16/15 H - Referred to Revenue.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2161 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Oppose 2 1/16/15

Summary: Authorizes county to charge fee not to exceed actual cost to county of recording certain

instruments.

Status:

1/16/15 H - Referred to Revenue.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2162 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 1/16/15

Summary: Removes prohibition against local government imposition of taxes on cigarettes and

tobacco products.

Status:

1/16/15 H - Referred to Revenue.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2235 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 1/15/15

Summary: Limits review by Land Use Board of Appeals of land use decisions and limited land

use decisions changing comprehensive plans and land use regulations to issues raised

during local planning process.

Status:

1/16/15 H - Referred to Rural Communities, Land Use and Water.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2287 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 3/6/15

**Summary:** Authorizes issuance of lottery bonds for transportation projects funded from

Multimodal Transportation Fund.

Status:

1/16/15 H - Referred to Transportation and Economic Development with subsequent referral

to Ways and Means.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2339 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 1/16/15

Summary:

Requires court to appoint interpreter and provide appropriate assistive communication device when necessary for crime victim who seeks to exercise certain constitutional

rights in open court.

**Status:** 

2/12/15 S - First reading. Referred to President's desk.

2/12/15 S - Referred to Judiciary.

2/11/15 H - Third reading. Carried by Williamson. Passed. Ayes, 56; Nays, 1--Nearman;

Absent, 1--Evans; Excused, 1--Clem; Excused for Business of the House, 1--Buckley.

2/9/15 H - Rules suspended. Carried over to February 11, 2015 Calendar.

2/6/15 H - Recommendation: Do pass.

2/6/15 H - Second reading.

2/2/15 H - Public Hearing and Work Session held.

1/16/15 H - Referred to Judiciary.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2348 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Monitor 2 3/13/15

Summary: Provides honorably retired law enforcement officers with protections provided to

Oregon concealed handgun license holders.

Status:

2/26/15 H - Public Hearing held.

1/16/15 H - Referred to Judiciary.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2355 Position Priority Date Input

Bill Info No Position 2 1/16/15

**Summary:** Increases certain fees charged by justice courts.

Status:

1/16/15 H - Referred to Judiciary.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2424 Position Priority Date Input

Bill Info Monitor 2 3/13/15

Summary: Authorizes employees of Department of Corrections, State Board of Parole and Post-

Prison Supervision and Oregon Corrections Enterprises who work in department

building to store personal handgun and ammunition in vehicle.

Status:

3/19/15 H - Work Session held.

2/26/15 H - Public Hearing held.

1/16/15 H - Referred to Judiciary.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2482 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 1/14/15

Su	m	m	а	rτ	7	•
Ju			и	τ,	r	٠

Requires Department of Revenue to appraise industrial property if improvements have real market value of more than \$1 million, unless appraisal delegated to county upon

request of county assessor.

#### Status:

3/3/15	S - Referred to Finan	ce and Revenue.
JIJIIJ	J - ICICITCA to I IIIaii	cc aria ric reriae.

2/24/15 S - First reading. Referred to President's desk.

2/23/15 H - Third reading. Carried by Smith Warner. Passed. Ayes, 59; Excused, 1--Esquivel.

2/20/15 H - Second reading.

2/19/15 H - Recommendation: Do pass.

2/18/15 H - Work Session held.

2/9/15 H - Public Hearing held.

1/16/15 H - Referred to Revenue.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

#### HB 2483A

#### **Position**

#### **Priority**

### **Date Input**

#### Bill Info

Support

2/26/15

**Summary:** 

Clarifies that right of any other party to seek determination of total real market value of unit of property or real market value of components of tax account or unit of property

applies in appeals of accounts constituting unit of property.

#### Status:

3/11/15 S - Referred to Finance and Revenue.

3/3/15 S - First reading. Referred to President's desk.

3/2/15 H - Third reading. Carried by Davis. Passed. Ayes, 58; Excused, 2--Esquivel, Gorsek.

2/27/15 H - Rules suspended. Carried over to March 2, 2015 Calendar.

2/25/15 H - Second reading.

2/24/15 H - Recommendation: Do pass with amendments and be printed A-Engrossed.

2/23/15 H - Work Session held.

2/18/15 H - Work Session held.

2/9/15 H - Public Hearing held.

1/16/15 H - Referred to Revenue.

1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

#### HB 2484

#### Position

#### **Priority**

#### **Date Input**

Bill Info

Support

2

1/15/15

**Summary:** 

Extends due date to March 15 for filing of certain property tax returns.

3/5/15	S - Referred to Finance and Revenue.
2/26/15	S - First reading. Referred to President's desk.
2/25/15	H - Read third time under Consent Calendar. Passed. Ayes, 60.
2/23/15	H - Second reading.
2/20/15	H - Recommendation: Do pass and be placed on Consent Calendar.
2/19/15	H - Work Session held.
2/9/15	H - Public Hearing held.
1/16/15	H - Referred to Revenue.
1/12/15	H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2487	Position	Priority	Date Input
<u>Bill Info</u>	Support	2	1/15/15
C	n		and and a second reals

Summary: Requires correction of maximum assessed value due to correction of square footage of property to be proportional to change in real market value of property that is due to

correction of square footage.

3/11/15	S - Referred to Finance and Revenue.
3/9/15	S - First reading. Referred to President's desk.
3/5/15	H - Third reading. Carried by Bentz. Passed. Ayes, 59; Excused, 1Taylor.
3/4/15	H - Rules suspended. Carried over to March 5, 2015 Calendar.
3/3/15	H - Second reading.
3/2/15	H - Recommendation: Do pass.
2/26/15	H - Work Session held.
2/16/15	H - Public Hearing held.
1/16/15	H - Referred to Revenue.

1/16/15	H - Referred to Revenue.
1/12/15	H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2529	Position	Priority	Date input
Bill Info	Monitor	2	3/13/15
Summary:	Exempts ce	ertain transfer	ors of firearms from requesting criminal his

**Summary:** Exempts certain transferors of firearms from requesting criminal history record check if purchaser or recipient has concealed handgun license.

#### Status:

o ta tao.	
1/16/15	H - Referred to Judiciary.
1/12/15	H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

<u>HB 2540</u>	Position	Priority	Date Input
Bill Info	Oppose	2	1/15/15
Summary:	Modifies definition of "public works." Classifies exemption from ad		
	valorem property taxation as funds of public agency for purpose of requiring payment		
	of prevailing rate of wage.		

otatus.		
1/16/15	H - Referred to Business and Labor.	
1/12/15	H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.	

ll Sun	nmary Report				
HB	2546A	Position	Priority	Date Input	
	Bill Info	Support	2	2/23/15	
			elivery system." Amends laws concerning sale of use of tobacco products by, minors so those laws equally systems.		
	Status:				
3/23/15 S - Public Hearing Scheduled.			ıled.		
3/9/15 S - Referred to Health Care			to Health Car	re.	
	3/3/15	S - First read	ing. Referred	to President's desk.	
	3/2/15	H - Third reading. Carried by Taylor. Passed. Ayes, 56; Nays, 2Nearman, Weic Excused, 2Esquivel, Gorsek.			
	2/27/15	H - Rules sus	spended. Car	ried over to March 2, 2015 Calendar.	
	2/25/15	H - Second re	•		
	2/24/15	H - Recomme	endation: Do	pass with amendments and be printed A-Engrossed.	
	2/20/15	H - Work Ses	ssion held.		
	2/9/15	H - Public He	earing held.		
	1/16/15	H - Referred	to Health Ca	re.	
	1/12/15	H - First reac	ling. Referred	d to Speaker's desk.	
НВ	2651	Position	Priority	Date Input	
	Bill Info	Oppose	2	1/16/15	
	Summary:	Requires police officer or certified reserve officer employed or utilized by law enforcement unit that employs at least 20 police officers to have associate degree.			
	Status:				
1/16/15 H - Referred to Judiciary.					
	1/12/15			d to Speaker's desk.	
HB	<u>2701</u>	Position	Priority	Date Input	
	Bill Info	Neutral	2	1/16/15	
	Summary:	Increases number of sessions with mental health professional that law enforcement agency must pay for and requires involved officer to attend all sessions.			
	Status:				
	1/16/15	H - Referred	•		
	1/12/15	H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.			
HB	2829	Position	Priority	Date Input	
	Bill Info	No Position	2	3/6/15	
	Summary:	Modifies provisions authorizing private parks in exclusive farm use zones.			
	Status:				
	2/5/15	H - Referred to Rural Communities, Land Use, and Water.			
	2/2/15	H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.			
НВ	2830	Position	Priority	Date Input	
	Bill Info	Support	2	2/4/15	

Summary:

Modifies time period for local government to take action on application for permit, limited land use decision or zone change after remand based on final order of Land

Use Board of Appeals.

Status:

3/3/15

H - Public Hearing held.

2/5/15

H - Referred to Rural Communities, Land Use, and Water.

2/2/15

H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2836

**Position** 

Priority

2

**Date Input** 

Bill Info

Neutral

2/4/15

**Summary:** 

Provides hours of service credit for vesting purposes and retirement credit to member

of Oregon Public Service Retirement Plan who receives workers' compensation disability payments and later returns to employment with participating public

employer.

Status:

2/5/15

H - Referred to Business and Labor.

2/2/15

H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2885

**Position** 

Priority

2

**Date Input** 

Bill Info

No Position

2/5/15

**Summary:** 

Provides that Oregon Liquor Control Commission may delay issuing licenses to

applicants for processing marijuana products that are intended to be consumed as food

or as potable liquid until July 1, 2016.

Status:

2/11/15

H - Referred to Implementing Measure 91.

2/4/15

H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2908A

Position

Priority

**Date Input** 

2/6/15

Bill Info
Summary:

Support

2

Brings Oregon law into compliance with federal Preventing Sex Trafficking and

Strengthening Families Act of 2014.

Status:

3/20/15

H - Third reading. Carried by Barker. Passed. Ayes, 54; Excused, 6--Barreto, Gilliam,

Hack, Heard, Hoyle, Olson.

3/19/15

H - Second reading.

3/18/15

H - Recommendation: Do pass with amendments and be printed A-Engrossed.

3/16/15

H - Work Session held.

2/23/15

H - Public Hearing held.

2/13/15

H - Referred to Judiciary.

2/6/15

H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2915

Position

Priority

**Date Input** 

**Bill Info** 

Monitor

3/13/15

Summary:

Establishes credit against personal income taxes for purchase of qualifying gun safes.

3/9/15 H - Recommendation: Do pass and be referred to Revenue by prior reference.
 3/9/15 H - Referred to Revenue by prior reference.
 3/5/15 H - Public Hearing and Work Session held.
 2/13/15 H - Referred to Judiciary with subsequent referral to Revenue.
 2/6/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2959PositionPriorityDate InputBill InfoSupport22/12/15

Summary: Requires Housing and Community Services Department to dedicate and use portion of moneys deposited in certain housing accounts from County Assessment and Taxation Fund for benefit of county from which fees deposited into fund were charged and

collected.

**Status:** 2/18/15

H - Referred to Human Services and Housing with subsequent referral to Ways and

Means.

2/11/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 3051 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Monitor 2 3/13/15

Bill Info Monitor 2 3/13/15

**Summary:** Provides persons licensed to carry concealed handgun in another state with protections

provided to persons with Oregon concealed handgun license.

Status:

2/27/15 H - Referred to Judiciary.

2/20/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 3093 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Monitor 2 3/13/15

Summary: Provides persons licensed to carry concealed handgun in another state with protections

provided to persons with Oregon concealed handgun license if other state has no less

stringent handgun competency requirement than Oregon.

Status:

3/2/15 H - Referred to Judiciary.

2/23/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 3097 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Oppose 2 2/24/15

Summary: Makes inquiring after applicant's conviction history and certain activities related to

conducting criminal records check on prospective employee unlawful employment

practice.

Status:

3/25/15 H - Public Hearing scheduled.

3/2/15 H - Referred to Business and Labor.

2/23/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

**Priority Date Input** HB 3100 Position 2/18/15 Support 2 Bill Info Changes governmental framework for conducting public health activities in this state Summary: and for providing public health services to residents of this state. Status: 3/9/15 H - Public Hearing held. H - Referred to Health Care. 2/20/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk. 2/16/15 **Priority Date Input** HB 3282 **Position** 2 3/2/15 Support Bill Info Requires Director of Department of Land Conservation and Development at city Summary: request to approve or remand sequential phases of work task related to potential amendment of urban growth boundary. Status: 3/24/15 H - Public Hearing scheduled. H - Referred to Rural Communities, Land Use, and Water. 3/5/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk. 2/27/15 **Date Input Position Priority** HB 3431 2 3/3/15 Neutral **Bill Info** Extends deadline for owner of Metolius resort site to notify Department of Land **Summary:** Conservation and Development that owner has elected to seek approval of small-scale recreation community. Status: H - Referred to Rural Communities, Land Use, and Water. 3/9/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk. 3/2/15 **Date Input** HB 5015 **Position Priority** Bill Info Support 1/15/15 Appropriates moneys from General Fund to Department of Justice for biennial **Summary:** expenses of district attorneys. Status: 2/26/15 H - Public Hearing held. 1/29/15 H - Assigned to Subcommittee On Public Safety. H - Referred to Ways and Means. 1/16/15 1/12/15 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk. SB 0027 **Position Priority Date Input** Support 2 1/15/15 Bill Info Resolves certain contradictory provisions applicable to duties of county clerk. **Summary:** 

3/19/15 S - Second reading.
3/19/15 S - Recommendation: Do pass.
3/12/15 S - Work Session held.
2/19/15 S - Public Hearing held.
1/20/15 S - Referred to Rules.

1/12/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0028A Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 1/15/15

Summary: Clarifies that county clerk may use elector's registration record, rather than physical

registration card, to authenticate signatures.

Status:

3/19/15 S - Recommendation: Do pass with amendments. (Printed A-Eng.)

3/12/15 S - Work Session held.
2/19/15 S - Public Hearing held.
1/20/15 S - Referred to Rules.

1/12/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0029 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 1/15/15

**Summary:** Establishes procedures for electing precinct committeepersons.

Status:

3/19/15 S - Recommendation: Do pass.

3/19/15 S - Second reading.
3/12/15 S - Work Session held.
2/19/15 S - Public Hearing held.

1/20/15 S - Referred to Rules.

1/12/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0067 Position Priority Date Input

Bill Info Support 2 1/15/15

Summary: Changes certain ballot markings from "Presidential only" to "Federal

only.".

Status:

1/20/15 S - Referred to Rules.

1/12/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0115 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Monitor 2 3/13/15

Summary: Removes six-month county residence requirement for legal resident applicant for

concealed handgun license.

**Status:** 

1/20/15 S - Referred to Judiciary.

1/12/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

**Date Input** SB 0130 **Position Priority** 1/15/15 Neutral 2 Bill Info Repeals state preemption of charter and statutory authority of local governments to set Summary: minimum wage requirements. Status: 1/20/15 S - Referred to Workforce. S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. 1/12/15 **Date Input Priority** Position SB 0173 2 1/23/15 Monitor Bill Info Authorizes person licensed to carry concealed handgun to present valid license instead **Summary:** of providing firearm to peace officer for examination when possessing firearm in public building. Status: 1/20/15 S - Referred to Judiciary. S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. 1/12/15 **Position Priority Date Input** SB 0210 Oppose 2 1/16/15 **Bill Info** Allows applicant to obtain expedited review of certain applications by filing request **Summary:** and paying fee. Status: S - Public Hearing held. 2/16/15 S - Referred to Environment and Natural Resources. 1/20/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. 1/12/15 **Position Priority Date Input** SB 0245 2 1/16/15 Neutral **Bill Info** Modifies purposes for assessing, and authorized uses of, solid waste facility permit **Summary:** fees. Status: 2/25/15 S - Public Hearing held. S - Referred to Environment and Natural Resources. 1/20/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. 1/12/15 **Priority Date Input** SB 0246 Position 1/16/15 Support Bill Info Authorizes Environmental Quality Commission to develop low-interest loan program **Summary:** to complete on-site septic system repairs, replacements or upgrades. Status: 3/11/15 S - Public Hearing held.

S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

S - Referred to Environment and Natural Resources, then Ways and Means.

1/20/15

1/12/15

**Date Input Priority** SB 0263 Position 2/27/15 No Position 2 Bill Info Adds program elements to opportunity to recycle. **Summary:** Status: S - Public Hearing held. 2/25/15 S - Referred to Environment and Natural Resources. 1/20/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. 1/12/15 **Priority Date Input** SB 0299 **Position** Monitor 3/13/15 Bill Info Changes states in which residents of Oregon may lawfully obtain long guns for **Summary:** transport into Oregon from contiguous states to all other states. Status: 1/20/15 S - Referred to Judiciary. S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. 1/12/15 **Position Priority Date Input** SB 0385 Monitor 2 1/16/15 Bill Info Adds justice court and municipal court to definition of "court facility" in **Summary:** which firearms and other weapons are prohibited except in specified circumstances. Status: S - Public Hearing held. 2/26/15 S - Referred to Judiciary. 1/20/15 1/12/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. **Date Input** SB 0415 **Position Priority** 2 2/23/15 Support **Bill Info** Prohibits distributing, selling or allowing to be sold flavored tobacco products or **Summary:** flavored inhalant delivery system products in this state. Status: 3/23/15 S - Public Hearing Scheduled. 1/20/15 S - Referred to Health Care. 1/12/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. **Position Priority Date Input** SB 0417 2/23/15 Support 2 Bill Info Requires premises where person makes retail sales of tobacco products and inhalant **Summary:** delivery systems to be licensed by Oregon Liquor Control Commission. Status: 1/20/15 S - Referred to Business and Transportation. S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk. 1/12/15

SB 0440

Position

**Priority** 

Date Input

Bill Info

Support

2

1/16/15

**Summary:** 

Requires Oregon Health Policy Board to establish Health Plan Quality Metrics Committee to develop health outcome and quality measures for coordinated care organizations and plans offered by Public Employees' Benefit Board and Oregon

Educators Benefit Board.

Status:

3/18/15

S - Public Hearing held.

1/20/15

S - Referred to Health Care.

1/12/15

S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0491

**Position** 

**Priority** 

**Date Input** 

Bill Info

Oppose

2

1/16/15

Summary:

Provides that state contracting agency may not prequalify prospective bidder or proposer for public contract for goods or services, or for public improvement contract, if prospective bidder or proposer employs 40 or more workers and if public contract has estimated contract price that exceeds \$500,000, unless prospective bidder or proposer submits certificate that shows completion of training program for compliance with pay equity provisions of state law.

Status:

3/4/15

S - Public Hearing held.

1/20/15

S - Referred to Workforce.

1/12/15

S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0528

Position

Priority

**Date Input** 

Bill Info

Monitor

2

3/13/15

**Summary:** 

Provides persons licensed to carry concealed handgun in another state with protections

provided to persons with Oregon concealed handgun license.

Status:

2/3/15

S - Referred to Judiciary.

2/2/15

S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0579

**Position** 

**Priority** 

2

**Date Input** 

Bill Info

Oppose

2/5/15

Summary:

Requires state contracting agency that awards public improvement contract with contract price of more than \$5 million to require contractor to employ apprentices to

perform 10 percent of work hours on public improvement that workers in

apprenticeable occupations perform.

Status:

2/9/15

S - Referred to Workforce.

2/5/15

S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0636

Position

Priority

**Date Input** 

Bill Info

Monitor

3/13/15

Summary:

Reduces required age for concealed handgun license applicant to 18 years of age if

applicant is servicemember.

Status:

2/13/15 S - Referred to Judiciary.

2/12/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0663 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 2/18/15

Summary: Changes governmental framework for conducting public health activities in this state

and for providing public health services to residents of this state.

Status:

3/9/15 S - Public Hearing held.

2/19/15 S - Referred to Health Care.

2/17/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0668 Position Priority Date Input
Bill Info Support 2 2/18/15

Summary: Provides that right and privilege to construct, maintain or operate water, gas, electric or

communication service line, fixture or other facility along public roads, free of charge,

does not extend to county roads.

Status:

2/19/15 S - Referred to Business and Transportation.

2/17/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0723 Position Priority Date Input

Bill Info Monitor 2 3/2/15

Summary: Exempts certain transferors of firearms from requesting criminal history record check if

purchaser or recipient has concealed handgun license.

Status:

3/5/15 S - Referred to Judiciary.

2/26/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0724 Position Priority Date Input

Bill Info Monitor 2 3/2/15

Summary: Provides persons licensed to carry concealed handgun in another state with protections

provided to persons with Oregon concealed handgun license if other state has no less

stringent requirements for obtaining license than Oregon.

Status:

3/5/15 S - Referred to Judiciary.

2/26/15 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 5505 Position Priority Date Input

Bill Info Support 2 1/16/15

**Summary:** Directs distribution of moneys from Criminal Fine Account.

1/29/15

S - Assigned to Subcommittee On Capital Construction.

1/20/15

S - Referred to Ways and Means.

1/12/15

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$  - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.